



**City of Auburn's**

**Consolidated Plan for Years 2010 - 2014**

**and**

**2010 Annual Action Plan**

**A Comprehensive Planning Document for**  
**Housing and Community Development Programs**

**November 15, 2009**

**Department of Administration**  
**25 West Main Street**  
**Auburn, Washington 98001**  
**(253) 931 - 3041**

---

## Table of Contents

---

Executive Summary	Exec 1
Chapter 1: Coordinating and Managing the Process	1 – 1
Chapter 2: Citizen Participation Plan	2 – 2
Chapter 3A: General Population and Household Characteristics	3A – 1
Chapter 3B: Homeless and Special Needs Populations	3B – 1
Chapter 4A: Auburn's Human Service Strategy for PY2005-2008	4A – 1
Chapter 4B: Neighborhood Revitalization	4B – 1
Chapter 4C: Auburn's Homeless Prevention and Anti-Poverty Strategies	4C – 1
Chapter 5: 2010 Annual Action Plan	AAP – 1
Attachment 1: Table 3A, Summary/Statement	
Attachment 2: Table 3B, Housing Completion	
Attachment 3: Table 3C, Listing of Projects	
Attachment 4: Notices of Public Comment, Hearing and Resolution	
Attachment 5: Minutes of Public Comment and Hearing on October 19, 2009	
Attachment 6: Resolution of City Council on November 2, 2009	
Attachment 7: Certifications	

---

# Executive Summary

---

## **Purpose of the Plan**

As a prerequisite to receiving Community Development Block Grant funds, along with other grants and funds from the federal government, the City must have a Consolidated Plan that is approved by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The City of Auburn's Consolidated Plan is a strategy that coordinates all elements of community development – affordable housing, neighborhood revitalization, economic development and human services – into a single plan.

## **Public Participation**

A public hearing is scheduled at the regular scheduled City Council meeting on October 19, 2009. Following public comments, a final edition of the Plan will be submitted to the City Council for their review and approval on November 2, 2009. The Plan must be submitted to HUD on November 15, 2009.

In addition to the formalized public hearing process, the City regularly meets with citizens in neighborhood meetings throughout Auburn designed to solicit input for the needs of the local community. The City also proactively schedules meetings with local non-profits and service providers to receive feedback regarding trends of the needs in Auburn. In addition to this effort, the City obtained information about Auburn's human service and community development needs by soliciting proposals from local non-profit service providers. The City also holds several public meetings and gives presentations throughout the year – whether based specifically on the CDBG program or on community development issues in general.

## **Time Period: 2010 - 2014**

The City of Auburn administers its human service grants, including CDBG capital improvements, using a bi-annual budgeting cycle. The time period of this Consolidated Plan is five years, it will become effective January 1, 2010 and expire on December 31, 2014. The Consolidated Plan will be reviewed annually. A thirty-day public review period will precede the submittal of the annual progress report and annual plan to HUD.

## **Regional Collaboration**

The City of Auburn is an entitlement city for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds. It is also a member of the King County Housing Consortium for the purpose of HOME funds. Most of the City's human service goals are accomplished through grant contracts with local and regional non-profit agencies. In a few cases, the City of Auburn undertakes specific types of capital improvements; for instance, street or sidewalk improvements.

## Neighborhood Revitalization

As more affluent neighborhoods are developing around the outskirts of town, Auburn is developing an inner-city core of low-income neighborhoods. These neighborhoods characteristically have a greater than average turnover of residents, dilapidated buildings and general deterioration of City infrastructure. The Consolidated Plan presents a planning process that can identify a target neighborhood as a local investment area for the purposes to receive CDBG funding for eligible activities and improvements. The planning process includes the development a neighborhood plan created in consultation with neighborhood residents. Once a neighborhood is designated as a local investment area, it becomes eligible for public investment for infrastructure improvements, public facilities and environmental protection.

## Community Development Strategies

1. Housing Development Strategies:
  - Preserve, maintain and improve the existing stock of affordable housing because it is the most affordable form of housing.
  - Provide services and facilities that serve low-income families and prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless.
  - Conserve developable land and natural resources through a variety of housing types, conservation and site planning techniques that achieve the maximum housing potential and passive energy use of a particular site.
  - Develop economically integrated, walkable neighborhoods which generate a secure atmosphere for both residents and visitors.
  - Develop effective land use policies and economic development strategies that provide long term and stable employment, increase per capita income, and reduce the tax burden of Auburn residents.
2. Homeless Prevention Strategy: The City of Auburn will continue to support regional efforts to prevent homelessness with services that include the following:
  - Homeless prevention through the intervention of social service agencies offering financial assistance for rent and utilities
  - Temporary assistance offered by emergency shelters and transitional housing.
  - Emergency home repairs to single family housing units, occupied by very low-income homeowners, that are at imminent risk of becoming uninhabitable.
  - Longer-term supportive housing to provide the family a stable environment and sufficient time for them to benefit from the social, educational, vocational and supportive services offered by local and regional agencies.
3. Strategy to Abate Lead Based Paint Hazards: The city will assess and reduce lead based paint hazards, when appropriate, in residential projects funded through the Housing Repair Program and other comparable, publicly funded programs.

## **Local and Regional Coordination**

The City of Auburn will continue to participate and coordinate its planning and programmatic activities with other local and regional agencies. Areas of particular concern and interest include the following:

- The City will participate in identifying policies for use of HOME funds and the development proposals for potential use of HOME funds within the region.
- In order to facilitate the coordination and effectiveness of social service programs, City staff will continue to participate in King County's Growth Management Planning committee and other regional working groups. The City will work with all jurisdictions within the region to develop a regional approach to affordable housing and social/supportive services.

## **Housing and Community Development Resources**

The City of Auburn works with local social service agencies in their efforts to obtain funding for their various services and programs. City actions include, among other activities, keeping agencies informed of funding opportunities and helping agencies draft grant applications. The main source of funds available to the City for housing and community development come from its own general fund and the federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) program.

## **Auburn's Anti-Poverty Strategy**

The ultimate goal of the City's Consolidated Plan is to reduce the number of people living in poverty within the City of Auburn. Toward this end, the City will give funding priority to programs that - in addition to complying with federal regulations and addressing a priority outlined in the Consolidated Plan - are consistent with the City's anti-poverty strategy for resource allocation. The City of Auburn's Department of Planning and Community Development (DPCD) is its lead agency to coordinate the City's efforts to reduce poverty. DPCD administers the City's general fund appropriation for human services and its Community Development Block Grant program. It works in partnership with citizens, non-profit agencies, and other city departments to:

- develop housing opportunities, particularly affordable housing;
- foster job growth and employment opportunities;
- encourage a thriving business sector;
- support the delivery of human services;
- strengthen the quality of life in Auburn's neighborhoods; and
- increase civic engagement and citizen participation.

## **Funding Recommendations for 2010**

The Auburn City Council, in coordination with the recommendations of the Human Services Committee, developed the Annual Action Plan for 2010 based on the assumption that the City will receive approximately \$405,000 of CDBG and \$600,000 of General Funds per year. The use of CDBG funds are grouped in one of the following three areas:

- Public Service—approx. \$60,000
- Capital Projects—approx. \$265,000
- Administration—approx. \$80,000

---

# Chapter 1: Coordinating and Managing the Process

---

## Introduction

Auburn's "Consolidated Plan" reflects both the aspirations of the entire community while identifying actions to remedy specific unmet needs. The City of Auburn's Consolidated Plan is a strategy that coordinates all elements of community development – affordable housing, neighborhood revitalization, economic development and human services – into a single plan. The City's Consolidated Plan includes both the City of Auburn's general fund appropriations for human service and the distribution of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG). It satisfies the application requirements for the CDBG grant provided to the City by the federal government's Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

## Time Period: 2010-2014

This Consolidated Plan, as well as any future amendments, if any, become effective January 1, 2010, or upon its approval date, whichever is later. This version of Auburn's Consolidated Plan expires on December 31, 2014. Beginning in Program Year (PY) 2010, the City will award public service grants for a two-year period. Consequently, this plan will cover two grant award cycles. Capital projects will be awarded annually; however, the City may choose to only solicit grant applications for capital projects every two years, in conjunction with the solicitation for public service grant proposals. In between grant solicitation periods, the City may choose to appropriate its capital funds for annual, non-competitive projects such as the emergency housing repair program and/or neighborhood revitalization projects. In the event that the City has surplus appropriation authority, it may choose to solicit grant proposals for capital projects for the interim year between its normal grant solicitation period.

## Lead Agency

The City of Auburn is an entitlement city for community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds. The City is also a member of the King County Housing Consortium for the purpose of HOME funds. As opportunities present themselves, Auburn agencies apply to King County for HOME funds like any other Consortium member. For distribution of its CDBG entitlement the City of Auburn receives applications for grants from local social and supportive service agencies. The City of Auburn's Department of Planning and Community Development (DPCD) serves as the lead agency in the administration of Auburn's CDBG funds.


## Collaboration & Partnership

Most of the City's human service and CDBG program is administered through contracts administered by the City of Auburn's Department of Planning and Community Development. The only exceptions are grants awarded to other City departments in which

Memorandums of Understanding are prepared for these monies. City staff prepares the necessary documents for each agency receiving a grant award. DPCD administers the contracts to assure their compliance with the City Council's intent and applicable regulatory stipulations. During the course of the contract, DPCD staff meets regularly with agencies to monitor their contracts, provide technical assistance, and resolve problems that may occur.

Figure 1.1 illustrates the City of Auburn's delivery of human service programs and activities. The entire process is interactive and collaborative with service providers, consumers and elected officials. It begins with the development of the Consolidated Plan. Using demographic data from the U.S. Census Bureau and other sources, the Consolidated Plan presents the human service needs of the City. Non-city agencies provide their input through the grant applications they submit requesting funds to undertake activities that address particular human service needs. The City determines which grants and, subsequently its human service priorities, through its budgeting process. Finally the agencies selected for funding are monitored and evaluated to determine how best to revise the City's human service strategy.

Figure 1.1  
**Auburn's Human Service Planning Process**



The following briefly describes the various components of the process in a little more detail.

**Consolidated Plan:** Every five years the City prepares a comprehensive human service plan. The document includes a plan for citizen participation and public review. It describes and summarizes the City's human service needs. It then presents a strategy to address these needs. This strategy includes goals and desired outcomes.

**Competitive Grants:** To a great degree, the City of Auburn relies upon local and regional non-profit agencies to implement the strategy presented in its Consolidated Plan. The City solicits grant proposals from interested agencies. These agencies submit the outcomes they plan to achieve, the activities or programs their agencies would provide, and the outputs these activities or programs will generate.

**Budgeting Process:** The allocation of funds necessary to implement the Plan is the next important step. The Human Services Committee (HSC) is a citizen advisory appointed by the Mayor to focus primarily on the social needs of the community. HSC review grant applications and makes its recommendations to the Mayor regarding which applications best meet the City's human service needs. Based on their recommendation, the Mayor submits a funding proposal to the City Council. Auburn's City Council is the policy-making body of the City. The Planning and Community Development Committee (PCDC), a standing committee for the City Council, reviews and recommends actions on ordinances, budgets and other policy issues. The PCD committee oversees the City's many and various affairs in planning and community development, including but not limited to the CDBG program and human service grants.

**Implementation:** Agencies awarded a grant, along with City departments which receive CDBG funds, enter into a contractual relationship to implement the activities and programs that will generate the outputs to satisfy the outcomes proposed in the City's overall strategy. Each agency will monitor their progress and report the output they generate to the City.

**Evaluation:** The City compiles the information from the agency's monitoring reports into various types of summary reports and financial statements. This information is passed on to HUD using its web-based reporting system. The information is used to compare outputs and outcomes to the City's overall strategy and HUD's national objectives. The information also enables all the involved parties to revise its plans as necessary to generate the desired results. These revisions are reflected in future updates to the Consolidated Plan.

## **Monitoring Plan**

Once funding is approved, City staff executes an agreement. The agreement will include, among other general and specific conditions, the project goals and requirements. Grant agreements for public services will be required to submit reports at least quarterly detailing the number of Auburn residents served, level of service provided to Auburn residents and a demographic profile of clients served. Grant recipients will be required to submit an annual report that explains the progress the agency made toward achieving its outcomes and output goals.

Grant agreements for capital projects will be executed following the completion of the environmental review of the project. Capital projects will be required to submit progress reports at least quarterly or anytime a reimbursement request is submitted. If the project triggers additional reporting requirements (such as weekly payroll reports for proof of

federal prevailing wage compliance), project monitoring will be increased accordingly.

Projects will be monitored on a consistent, on-going basis by City staff. Telephone and/or personal contacts are made at least quarterly, depending upon the activity and issues associated with the individual project. On-site monitoring visits will be conducted upon both capital and public service grant recipients. Activities selected for on-site visits will depend upon the amount of funds provided, past history of contract compliance, and/or any programmatic changes that may occur in the project or in the service delivery of public service programs.

City staff will review, prior to approval, all vouchers and backup documentation for payment. Environmental, lead-based paint inspections and contractor debarment issues will be reviewed with agency project managers at the beginning of each project. City staff will strive to help grant recipients complete their projects in a timely manner and in accordance with applicable rules and regulations.

## **Managing the Process**

In 1993 Congress passed the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) establishing strategic planning and performance measurements in federally funded programs. GPRA identifies goals and defines the level of performance to be achieved by program activities. It entails using standardized performance reports that compare government performance over time against other jurisdictions and grant programs. Although GPRA is not mandated, there is an expectation that governments that receive federal funds begin using it. Decisions regarding the continuation of federal grant programs are based, in part, on their performance as measured by these reports. HUD is urging its CDBG entitlement cities to begin implementing GPRA.

In 2004 the City of Auburn joined other South King cities to use a common application for human service grant request. The joint application contained a logic model that links strategic goals with the operational accountability. The logic model in the joint application is similar to the logic model recommended by HUD.

A logic model is an abstract of the program or service that is under consideration for funding or has already been funded. The logic model often serves as the “first impression” for the grant request. It provides a broad overview of the entire strategy or plan. Logic models support the management of programs and activities. HUD uses a logic model to align activities and outcomes of local programs with national objectives. HUD also uses it to monitor program activities and measure program effectiveness. Figure 1.2 is a summary of the logic model used by the City of Auburn. It consists of the following components.

Regional Goal: In line with the City of Auburn's acquiescence that is a partner in the regional delivery and provision of human services, the City adopts the community goals developed through the regional human service planning process.

Outcomes: The expected outcome in terms of community impact or changes in economic and social status. Auburn's logic model expresses outcome goals in the form of a vision statement.

Agency/Program: The non-profit selected by the City to generate the outputs that will achieve the City's desired outcomes. Some large agencies identify the program that it offers to achieve the desired outcomes.

Activities: Activities or services undertaken to outputs necessary to achieve outcomes and regional goals. These activities may be performed by the City of Auburn or by an agency that receives a grant from the City.

Projected Outputs: Numerical measurements characterizing the results of a program activities, services or intervention. Outputs are used to measure performance relative to identified outcomes and goals. The output result is used to evaluate the progress achieved to implement the strategy.

Resources: The funds allocated by the City to the agency for it to generate the projected outputs to meet the City's desired outcomes.

## **Consolidated Planning Process**

The Year 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan is the City of Auburn's initial step of a long-term process to implement the attached logic model. The entire process will undoubtedly require several phases. It will require collaboration with other jurisdictions. As these other jurisdictions begin implementing the HUD logic model, or something similar to satisfy GPRA, the City of Auburn will likewise revise and update its Consolidated Plan to include these performance measurements. Subsequently, the development of the City of Auburn's Consolidated Plan is an on-going process that will be continuously revised and refined.

---

## **Chapter 2: Citizen Participation Plan**

---

### **Auburn's Vision for the Future**

As a city of regional significance, proud of its small town heritage as well as the diversity of its people and neighborhoods, Auburn offers opportunities for people of all ages to enjoy their quality of life.

### **City of Auburn Mission Statement**

To provide a service-oriented government that meets the needs of our citizens and business community through efficient and professional management with responsive and accessible leadership.

### **Citizen Participation in Policy Development**

To ensure the widest range of public participation, the City uses a multi-faceted approach to generate public involvement into the development of its planning policies.

#### Neighborhood Meetings

Several neighborhood meetings are held during the year to provide both formal and informal interaction between citizens and city staff. Information concerning the demography of the city and various planning issues are presented and discussed. These meetings do not focus strictly on planning issues. A spectrum of public concerns ranging from health and safety to crime, recreation, community facilities and services are also discussed.

#### Public Speakers

Several presentations are frequently made to organizations, neighborhood groups and other groups of individuals wanting more information regarding planning issues. These informal talks are typically held in settings selected by the group.

#### Newspaper Articles

Easy to understand articles in a community newsletter provide the public with information regarding planning issues and the availability of information, assistance and/or speakers. The City of Auburn's community newsletter is distributed to all postal customers -- both residential and commercial -- within the two zip codes that cover the Auburn area. The *Auburn Update* is also included in the local *Auburn Reporter*.

## News Releases

The media are provided with updates regarding neighborhood meetings, planning issues, and growth management concerns.

## Committee Meetings and Workshops

Both the Planning and Community Development Committee and the Human Services Committee are advised of changes in the City's demography and proposed changes in planning policies. Periodically these standing committees conduct workshops to review the draft amendments to planning documents and proposed projects. All of these meetings are open to the public. Documents are made available prior to the meeting and public comments are encouraged at any time.

## Public Hearings

In addition to these opportunities for informal input, the formal adoption process of municipal ordinances requires public notices and public hearings before either the Planning Commission and/or the City Council.

## **Citizen Participation in the CDBG Planning Process**

In addition to the above efforts, citizens have the opportunity to participate in the formation of CDBG policies and the development of CDBG funded projects. The following outlines the public's access to participate in Auburn's CDBG program.

### Human Services Committee

A citizen advisory committee, the Human Services Committee, was established to review the social needs of the city that should be considered when appropriating federal revenue sharing funds and general funds. They serve as a committee to advise the mayor and the council on allocation and application for grant funds for community development projects.

In addition, the Human Services Committee reviews and evaluates existing programs, encourages citizen participation, performs other assignments referred by the Mayor or City Council. The Committee meets regularly each month and occasionally has a special meeting regarding a particular issue and/or concern. The full committee consists of eleven members who serve staggered terms of three years. Among them, the members represent the clergy, the schools, local business, and community service groups. Members of the Human Services Committee are appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the City Council. The Committee receives technical assistance from DPCD staff.

## Consultations with Provider Agencies

A community meeting is held annually with local housing and human service providers. This meeting provides a format and a forum for providers – both those that are regularly funded by the City and those who do not receive public funding – to talk about emergent needs in the community. The meeting also provides time for providers to talk about goals and projects that should be undertaken within the next planning and/or funding period.

Throughout the year, when the Human Services Committee is not reviewing funding applications and making recommendations to the Council, the Committee visits and/or meets with local social service agencies. During these meetings and site visits, agencies present their programs along with information and data pertaining to their target populations. Committee members use these meetings to assess community needs and discuss potential projects.

In addition, during the annual performance report process, City staff makes monitoring visits to each of the funded organizations. The purpose of the visit is not only to ensure that the agency is in compliance with CDBG and City regulations, but also to provide technical assistance and to solicit feedback from the agency about their experience working with the program.

## Annual Performance Report

In March and April City staff prepare a performance report on the previous CDBG program year. This progress report, called a Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) summarizes program accomplishments and challenges. It reports on the status of each strategy and describes any changes to the Annual Plan. A workshop about the CAPER is held with the Planning and Community Development Committee and a public hearing is conducted before the City Council. Copies of the Annual Performance Report are distributed to the City Council, Human Service Commission, local service agencies and current recipients of CDBG funds.

## Solicitation of Potential Projects

In May the City begins soliciting proposals from interested agencies for the forthcoming program year. A public notice indicating the availability of grant funds is distributed and published in the local newspaper. The announcement includes the amount of funds available for distribution and the City's desire that these funds be used to benefit low-income Auburn residents. A public meeting is generally held in cooperation with other local jurisdictions that also fund housing and human service activities. This meeting is scheduled and advertised to all agencies, and the agenda is set up to explain the application process and distribute application packets. City staff meets with agencies and other groups to disseminate information and answer any of their questions. In June and July the Human Services Committee analyzes the applications, interviews newly-funded prospective agencies, and prepares their recommendations for the allocation of CDBG funds. Their recommendation is incorporated into the preliminary draft of the Plan.

## **Preliminary Draft of the Consolidated Plan**

In early fall, a preliminary draft of the revised Plan is finished. Copies are distributed to the City Council, Human Service Commission, local service agencies and all the agencies that requested CDBG funds for the next program year. A public notice is published in the local newspaper that contains a brief summary of the plan and instructions for providing public comments.

### **Public Review Period**

Interested citizens have a thirty-day period to comment on the proposed Plan. A summary of citizen comments is included as an appendix to the Plan along with an explanation of actions taken in response to the comments.

### **Public Hearings**

During the public review period, the City Council conducts a public hearing on the preliminary draft of the revised Plan. A public notice indicating the time, date, location and purpose of the hearing is posted in at least three public places and published in the official newspaper of the City of Auburn *The Seattle Times*. City staff addresses comments and suggestions expressed at the public hearing. They take these comments and suggestions into consideration during the preparation of the final draft of the plan.

### **CDBG Budget Ordinance**

Concurrent with the above preliminary draft, City staff prepares and distributes the CDBG budget ordinance. This budget ordinance appropriates CDBG funds during the next program year. The budget ordinance must be processed in accordance with local and state laws. A public hearing on the proposed budget is typically scheduled at the same time and date where the City Council listens to public comment on the preliminary draft of the Consolidated Plan. Passage of the budget ordinance does not occur until the final draft of the Consolidated Plan is finished. The budget ordinance is typically passed concurrently with the adoption of the Consolidated Plan.

## **Final Edition of the Consolidated Plan**

At the end of the public review period, City staff prepares the final draft of the Consolidated Plan. A resolution is prepared asking the City Council to adopt the Plan. If the Council decides to revise the final draft, another public hearing is scheduled. A public notice is published in the local newspaper indicating the time, date and reason for the public hearing. Once the City Council passes the resolution adopting the Plan, the final edition is published, distributed and submitted to HUD for approval.

## Amending the CDBG Budget Ordinance

Any significant change to a CDBG project approved through the Consolidated Planning Process is administered as an amendment to the CDBG budget ordinance. A significant change is defined as any of the following conditions:

1. Change in the activity as described in the narrative description of each appropriation listed in the budget ordinance.
2. Change in the appropriated amount when greater than \$15,000.

Amendments to the budget ordinance require a public hearing. A description of the amendment, time and date of the public hearing is published in the local newspaper. The public has an opportunity to comment on the proposed amendment at the public hearing which is prior to Council action.

## Inactive or Abandoned Capital Projects

For the purpose of appropriations using Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds, the following criteria will apply:

- **Public Services and Administration:** CDBG funds appropriated for eligible public service and administrative activities are made solely and strictly for activities within the program year for which they were appropriated. These activities will be considered accomplished at the end of the program year. Any remaining appropriation for public service and administrative activities at the end of the program year will be reappropriated to eligible projects in the next program year.
- **Capital Improvements:** According to RCW 35A.33.150, special fund appropriations, such as CDBG appropriations, do not lapse but carry forward from year to year until fully expended or the purpose has been accomplished or abandoned. CDBG funds appropriated to sub-recipients for capital improvements must be expended within the term of the sub-recipient's CDBG grant agreement. CDBG funds appropriated for capital improvements will be considered abandoned, and the funds will be reappropriated, if any of the following conditions exist:
  - (a) The term of the grant agreement expires and the sub-recipient fails to request an extension in accordance with the terms of the agreement.
  - (b) The sub-recipient fails to submit a request for reimbursement or fails to submit an activity report during the program year.
  - (c) The sub-recipient fails to make the project "bid ready" within the first year after the appropriation. "Bid ready" means that, at a minimum, the bid specifications are drafted and the sub-recipient is ready to solicit bids.

The City may consider exceptions to the above criteria if extenuating circumstances exist such that making such exceptions would be fair and prudent.

## **Access To Information**

Applications, contracts and other records related to the past use of Auburn CDBG funds are available for public review at the Department of Planning and Community Development, Auburn City Hall, 25 West Main Street, from 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM, Monday through Friday.

### Technical Assistance

Through the documents and information distributed by the City, prospective agencies and groups are advised that technical assistance is available. Several agencies have accepted the City's offer to provide technical assistance.

### Access to Planning Information

Copies of the City's Comprehensive Plan, Consolidated Plan, census data and other planning information are made available, free of charge, to any interested person or group. Copies of the City's planning documents are distributed to local libraries, Chamber of Commerce, schools, news media and several other organizations.

### Grievances and Complaints

Written complaints or grievances are answered within 15 working days whenever possible. Citizens have the opportunity to appeal to the City Council for their desired response or action regarding the Plan. A summary of written complains or grievances along with a response is included in the appendix of the Plan.

---

## CHAPTER 3A: HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

---


This section offers statistical and analytical information that provides an overall picture of Auburn's housing and community development needs. It lays the foundation for Chapter 4: Housing and Community Development Strategies.

### GENERAL POPULATION AND HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

#### Population

In 2009, Auburn had an estimated population of 67,485 people. Recent growth, as well as projected growth, is attributable mainly to annexations and/or the construction of new housing in the recently annexed areas. If Auburn continues to grow at its current rate, then by year 2020 its population will be approximately 80,000 people.

Figure 3.1  
**Auburn's Population**



#### Ethnic and Racial Trends

The 2000 Census indicates 82% of Auburn's residents were Caucasian and the remaining 18% were people of color. In 2008, the Census projected those statistics would remain the same. According to the Auburn School District, the ethnic population in Auburn has increased from 2000 to 2008 by 18.6%. In the past eight years the Asian and Hispanic population has increased while the Caucasian population has decreased.

Figure 3.3





Figure 3.4




Household Characteristics

In the Year 2008, it had been projected that Auburn had a total of 32,447 households. Families with children comprise less than one-third of Auburn's total households. Single parents, mostly women, head approximately 12% of family households with children. A nearly equal number of households are people living alone or married couples with no children.

Figure 3.5


**Types of Auburn Households**



Age of Population

The median age of the Auburn resident in 2008 is 35.5 years which is slightly less than the King County median age of 35.7 years. Figure 3.5 illustrates the change in Auburn's age groups between and 2000 and 2008. At the same time, the age groups between 35-60 years decreased. The average age of a new resident in Auburn is 40 years of age. Approximately 7% of Auburn's population is school-age children 5-19 years. Seniors age 60 years or older account for 6% of Auburn's total population –and less than the King County average (13%).

Figure 3.6  
**Auburn's Population Sorted by Age Group**



Income Characteristics

Low-income is defined as a household that earns less than 80% of the King County median household income (KCMI). In the year 2008, the King County median household income increased to \$81,400 per year. The definition of low income subsequently increased to include those households earning less than \$42,000 per year. In the year 2008, 13% of Auburn's households earned less than \$42,000 per year and, therefore, are low income.

Auburn's Median Incomes

Figure 3.7

	Auburn 2000	Auburn 2008	% change	King Cty 2000	King Cty 2008	% change
<b>Per Capita Income</b>	19,360	26,329	36%	29,521	45,320	35%
<b>Median HH Income</b>	39,208	51,362	31%	53,157	66,969	21%
<b>Median Family Income</b>	45,426	57,691	27%	66,035	80,362	18%

## Poverty


Created in 1955, the poverty threshold assumes that families who spend a third or more of their gross income on four basic and essential food groups, as determined by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, are living in poverty. The poverty threshold amount varies by family size. It does not vary geographically across the nation. If the family's total income is less than the "poverty threshold", then the entire family is considered as in poverty.

According to the U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, in 2009 the poverty threshold for an individual was \$10,830, for two persons it was \$14,570 and for a four-person household it was \$22,050 per year. Between 2000 and 2009, the number of Auburn residents living in poverty increased. It is unclear how much of this increase is due to poverty-stricken people moving into Auburn or existing low-income households losing ground and slipping into poverty. In any event, it is one of the reasons Auburn's median income levels remained comparatively lower than the rest of King County. In the year 2000, roughly 5,000 Auburn residents, or 12% of its total population, live in poverty.

## Employment

In 2000, nearly 20% of Auburn's residents worked in the manufacturing industries. Between Year 2000 and Year 2008 Auburn's resident labor force lost approximately one-half of these manufacturing workers. The retail trade employment in Auburn has increased from 15% to 24% primarily as a result of Auburn's Supermall of the Great Northwest, Wal-Mart and Sam's Club.

**Figure 3.8**  
**Change in Jobs Located in Auburn**



Auburn's economy presents an interesting conundrum – namely how to connect the people who live on the east side of Auburn to the jobs located on the west side of Auburn. The City of Auburn's Comprehensive Land Use Plan divides the City into three parts. West Auburn is designed to serve the Central Puget Sound region. East Auburn contains the majority of residential areas and downtown Auburn connects the two. According to the Puget Sound Regional Council, approximately 38,058 jobs are located in Auburn. More than half of these jobs are located on its west side. The Census indicates Auburn residents fill approximately 5,811 (15%) of these. Roughly 69% of Auburn's adult labor force live outside of Auburn. Their average commute time in Year 2000 was 23 minutes.

Educational Attainment

Figure 3.9 compares the educational attainment of Auburn's adult population to King County. It indicates that the adults in Auburn over 25 years of age who have less than twelve years of education are twice as high compared to the King County average. Auburn has a few Census block groups where one out of three adults has less than 12 years of education. These block groups tend to have a large number of very low-income households and high percentage of immigrant families. South King County in general tends to lag behind east and north King County relative to the number of adult residents who are college graduates. The Census indicates 15% of Auburn's adult population over 25 years of age has bachelor or postgraduate degree compared to a King County average of 40% for the same age cohort. Among the south King County cities, only three cities -- Algona (7%), Pacific (9%) and SeaTac (15%) – have fewer college graduates.

Figure 3.9

**Educational Attainment of Auburn's Adult Population**

	1990		2000		2000	
	Number	% Total	Number	% Total	King Co.	% KC Total
<b>Total Persons 25+ Years Old:</b>	21,099	100%	25,569	100%	1188740	100%
Years of school completed . . .						
<9th grade	1,118	5%	1,253	5%	40,702	3%
9-12th, no diploma	2,685	13%	3,139	12%	75,026	6%
High school graduate	7,207	34%	8,172	32%	227,931	19%
Some College, No Degree	5,658	27%	6,832	27%	280,812	24%
Associate Degree	1,710	8%	2,176	9%	89,321	8%
Bachelor's Degree	2,053	10%	2,874	11%	316,451	27%
Post Graduate Degree	668	3%	1,123	4%	158,497	13%

**HOUSING CONDITIONS AND MARKET ANALYSIS**

Number and Type of Housing

In 2008 – 2009 ownership housing was more affordable than in 2004-2007, but the median priced home still cost almost \$60,000 more than the median income household could afford.

The median sales price of all homes in King County (single family, townhomes, condominiums and mobile homes) declined about 2% from \$397,000 to \$390,000 in 2008 and had dropped to \$351,500 by May 2009. This represented roughly a 12% drop over the previous twelve months. Nationally, home prices fell about 19% during the same twelve month period.

The average home price in Auburn between 2004 and 2009




Figure 3.10  
Auburn's Housing Supply: 1990-2004

Type	1990	1994	2000	2004	% Total	10 yr Diff	% Diff
1-unit	6,117	6,366	7,913	8,990	46%	2,624	41%
2-units	781	813	916	976	5%	163	20%
3&4 units	1,366	1,485	1,670	1,717	9%	232	16%
5+ units	3,751	3,916	4,782	5,385	28%	1,469	38%
MH units	2,041	2,106	2,359	2,298	12%	192	9%
SP-units	100	100	44	54	0%	na	na
<b>totals</b>	<b>14,156</b>	<b>14,786</b>	<b>17,684</b>	<b>19,420</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4,634</b>	<b>31%</b>

### Renters and Homeowners and Tenure

More than half (53%) of the housing units in Auburn are owner-occupied. The median year in which both rental and homeowner housing units were built was 1976 or 34 years ago. The average length of tenure of an Auburn homeowner is six years; whereas the average tenure of a renter is one year.

Figure 3.11

#### **Comparison of Tenure**

{sorted by % Owner Occupied}

	<b>Owner</b>	<b>Renter</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>% Owner</b>	<b>% Renter</b>
Pierce Co.	165598	95202	260800	63%	37%
Bellevue	28189	17647	45836	61%	39%
King Co.	425436	285480	710916	60%	40%
Burien	7552	5847	13399	56%	44%
Federal Way	17612	13825	31437	56%	44%
Redmond	10520	8582	19102	55%	45%
Puyallup	7064	5806	12870	55%	45%
Tacoma	41676	34476	76152	55%	45%
Auburn	8731	7377	16108	54%	46%
SeaTac	5281	4427	9708	54%	46%
Renton	10848	10860	21708	50%	50%
Kent	15178	15935	31113	49%	51%
Seattle	125165	133334	258499	48%	52%
Lakewood	11357	12435	23792	48%	52%

### Housing Market Analysis:

In 2000, the median sales price of a home was \$245,000; in 2009, the median sales price of a home in King County was \$403,500. In 2000, the median sales price of a home in Auburn was \$239,000; in 2009, the median sales price of a home in Auburn was \$387,000. The cost of housing in Auburn is still less than the rest of King County. The following table represents a snapshot of the housing market on three separate dates. Several of the homes for sale that are less than \$100,000 are mobile homes in designated manufactured home parks.

Figure 3.12  
**Homes for Sale in Auburn**

	6/2/2002	5/18/2004	8/2/2009
Total Number of SF Houses	474	429	578
Median Price:	\$239,950	262,000	\$305,091
Number of Units			
... Over \$325,000	93	98	140
... \$250,000 to \$325,000	118	146	147
... \$175,000 to \$250,000	186	115	170
... \$100,000 to \$175,000	72	32	87
... Less than \$100,000	5	38	34

**Housing Affordability**

Affordability concerns all households, regardless of income. It pertains to a household’s attempt to reach a balance between its financial means and its desire for decent housing and amenities. The accepted definition of affordability is based on the percentage of household income spent on dwelling costs. Dwelling costs for an owner occupied unit include principal and interest payments, taxes, insurance and public utilities. A housing unit is considered affordable if monthly dwelling costs are less than 30% of the household’s gross income. If a larger share of household income is spent on dwelling costs, then the household is probably sacrificing money that would normally be spent for other basic needs such as food, health care, childcare, education, etc.

The term “affordability gap” refers to the difference between the average price of housing – either rented or owned – and the recommended, affordable price of housing. A positive gap means the price of housing is less than the recommended amount that a household could afford to pay. Households with positive affordability gaps have several choices of affordable housing. A negative gap indicates the price of housing exceeds the recommended amount for housing. Households with a negative affordability gap have fewer housing choices.

According to the Year 2000 Census, the median household income in King County was \$53,157 per year. For half of the households in King County, housing costs of less than \$1,330 per month would be affordable. The Census indicated the median rent paid by Auburn residents was \$639 per month and the median mortgage payment was \$1,061 per month. Subsequently, Auburn has a number of affordable housing choices relative to King County in general.


Figure 3.13  
**Housing Affordability by Income Level**

Income Group	Yr 2000 Monthly Household Income	Affordable Housing Costs	% Auburn Households
Very Low Income (0-29% of KCMI)	less than \$1,285 {less than \$8 per hour}	\$0 to \$385	16%
Low Income (30-49% of KCMI)	\$1,286 to \$2,215 { \$8 - \$13 per hour}	\$386 to \$664	24%
Moderate Income (50-79% of KCMI)	\$2,216 to \$3,544 { \$13 - \$20 per hour}	\$665 to \$1,060	16%
Low-Median Income (80-99% of KCMI)	\$3,545 to \$4,430 { \$20 - \$25 per hour}	\$1,061 to \$1,330	14%
High-Median Income (101-119% of KCMI)	\$4,431 to \$5,270 { \$25 - \$30 per hour}	\$1,331 to \$1,580	9%
Upper Income (120% or more of KCMI)	more than \$5,270 { more than \$35 per hour}	\$1581 or more	21%

Cost Burden

Figure 3.14 shows the percentage of total households in Auburn and King County relative to the amount of their household income spent on housing costs. Approximately one out of four of Auburn's households pay 35% or more of their household income for housing costs. Approximately 75% of Auburn households who earn less than \$20,000 per year pay more than 30% for their housing costs. An even greater percentage of King County low-income households have unaffordable housing. In other words, these low income households would pay even more of their income for housing outside of Auburn. A smaller percentage of Auburn's more affluent households have unaffordable housing compared to the rest of King County.

Figure 3.14  
**Households Paying More Than 30% for Housing Costs By Income Group**



Demand for Affordable Housing

King County has virtually no private market rental units affordable to the nearly 75,000 households with very low incomes. That's why the King County Housing Authority's Public Housing and Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8) programs provide such a critical safety net for the most at-risk populations living in unincorporated King County and the area's 23 suburban cities, including Auburn.

KCHA is an independent municipal corporation established under Washington state law. The Housing Authority continues to play a vital role in assisting local government in rising to the challenge of developing housing and settlement patterns that are sustainable over the long term while protecting the environment and quality of life in this region. In addition to providing decent affordable housing to the county’s elderly, disabled, and poorest households, KCHA continues to both shape and assist private market efforts to expand the stock of affordable “workforce” housing.

Housing Inventory

KCHA owns or controls 118 residential complexes with 8,474 units, including about 2,650 Public Housing units. In addition, more than 9,600 households receive rental assistance vouchers through the Section 8 program. That means that every night, KCHA ensures that more than 18,000 households have a place to call home.

The populations served by KCHA include families, the elderly, people with disabilities, youth, chronically mentally ill, victims of domestic violence, and persons with AIDS.

KCHA’s housing programs include:

- Public Housing
- Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8)
- Affordable Workforce Housing
- Emergency and transitional housing for homeless and special needs populations
- Homeownership opportunities

In addition to its housing programs, KCHA provides home repair and weatherization assistance to qualifying private property owners and landlords throughout King County.

Subsidized Housing

The stock of subsidized housing is quite diverse, ranging from single-family to townhouse to multifamily developments. Most family developments throughout King County are small, having less than 40 units, and in Auburn, that figure is slightly higher with just above 50 units, on average. Serving those with the greatest need is our primary mission, and our Public Housing application process includes a preference for those earning 30 percent of median income or less. Rents are not more than 30 percent of the tenant’s adjusted income.

KCHA has roughly 3,500 subsidized housing units, including those at Public Housing communities and units subsidized through Project-Based Section 8 funding. Of those, 362 are located in Auburn at the sites listed below.

Figure 3.15

**KCHA Subsidized Housing Communities Located in Auburn**

Development Name	Number of Units	Type of Housing
Burndale Homes	50	F
Firwood Circle	50	F
Green River Homes	60	F
Gustaves Manor	35	S/D

Plaza Seventeen	70	S/D
Valley Park	30	F/D/T
Wayland Arms	67	S/D
<b>Total:</b>	362	

D: Disabled F: Family S:Senior T: Transitional

In addition to its subsidized housing units in Auburn, KCHA has 278 units of workforce housing and 126 manufactured housing spaces. KCHA also partners with a local nonprofit agency to provide two units of emergency housing.

Rental Assistance

Given the consistently high demand for Section 8 Vouchers, the King County Housing Authority only opens its waiting lists periodically for a two-week period. When last opened in June 2007, nearly 11,000 families applied for assistance, of which 2,500 were selected through a lottery process and placed on a waiting list.

Those who apply must verify that they meet one of three local preferences:

- Households that are homeless or about to become homeless through no fault of their own;
- Households living in substandard housing or transitional housing;
- Households paying more than 50 percent of income on rent and utilities.

Once selected for a voucher, participants have the choice of living anywhere in KCHA’s jurisdiction. As of the end of September 2009, 9,664 voucher holders were living in King County. Of those, 905 voucher holders -- slightly more than 9 percent -- were housed in the Auburn area. That percentage is down from the 10 percent who were living in the area in 2004 though the total number of voucher holders in Auburn has actually grown by about 150 in the past five years.

Capital Improvement Projects

In the past five years, KCHA has completed several capital projects in Auburn to enhance the Public Housing developments there. Those projects include:

- Interior renovations and water line upgrades at Burndale Homes and Firwood Circle;
- Fire and life safety system upgrades at Gustaves Manor, Plaza Seventeen, and Wayland Arms;
- Heating system upgrades at Plaza Seventeen.

KCHA’s 10-Year Capital Plan outlines the highest priorities that need to be addressed among the identified capital needs for Public Housing developments. The estimated cost for all of these projects in the next 10 years is \$55 million, including \$13 million for various improvements in Auburn. The following table summarizes planned improvements as outlined in KCHA’s 10-Year Capital Plan.

**10-Year Capital Fund Plan  
Scheduled and Deferred Projects**

<b>Site</b>	<b>Est Costs</b>	<b>Description of Main Improvements</b>
Burndale Homes	\$1,600,000	New community building (\$600,000) and site improvement work, including paving, lighting, storm drainage (\$1,000,000)
Firwood Circle	1,500,000	Community building addition and remodel (\$700,000) and site improvement work, including paving, lighting, and storm drainage (\$800,000)
Green River	10,000,000	Major interior, exterior, and site renovation
<b>Total Planned:</b>	<b>\$13,100,000</b>	

In addition, KCHA has received \$645,088 in federal funds from the 2009 American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (stimulus bill) to make improvements at Wayland Arms. Of that funding, almost \$250,000 was competitively awarded to KCHA to upgrade some units to be accessible for people with disabilities. The rest of the funding will pay for new accessible entry doors as well as several energy efficiency upgrades, including a new boiler and thermostatic control system and new exterior and interior common area lighting with light sensors in all corridors.

High Performance

Because of KCHA’s longstanding high performance, the Authority was selected by HUD to become a Moving to Work Housing Authority in 2003. This distinction, given to less than the top 1 percent of the Housing Authorities nationwide, allows for flexibility in the development of local program policies that will better meet Housing Authority and community needs.


Through its MTW flexibility, the Section 8 department is exploring revisions to current Section 8 policies that would facilitate more efficient and effective availability of housing vouchers. These efforts include forming partnerships to serve people with special needs, including housing for the homeless.

In Public Housing, MTW flexibility has, for example, allowed KCHA to take innovative financing approaches to address the backlog of unmet capital needs. In 2008 alone, KCHA leveraged more than \$30 million into the redevelopment and upgrading some of its most outdated housing, ensuring that these units remain a viable, affordable housing resource over the long term. The MTW program’s funding flexibility also has assisted KCHA efforts to ensure all senior/disabled buildings have up-to-date fire and life safety systems as well as other upgrades.

### Five Year Projections

The City of Auburn's "2020 Population Projection" forecast that Auburn will experience greater than average growth over the next 20 years. Housing developments in the Pierce County portion of Auburn combined with annexations of Lea Hill and West Hill, will drive Auburn's growth over the next twenty years. Figure 3.16 represents the projected housing growth indicated in the City's "Year 2020 Population Estimate". Among the 16,200 projected increase in housing units, roughly one-fourth of the new housing units (5,267 housing units) will be built outside of the annexation areas. Approximately 40% of Auburn's new housing units will be built in the Lakeland PUD located in the Pierce County portion of Auburn and the remaining 35% will be located in the Lea Hill annexation areas.

Figure 3.16  
**Housing Growth Projections**



### Distribution of Housing Among Income Groups

King County's growth management policies recommend Auburn plan for 37% of its projected new housing units be affordable to low and moderate income households as follows: 20% for low income and 17% for moderate income households. The King County Planning Policies state that in areas identified as city expansion areas, King County and the respective cities should plan cooperatively for affordable housing development and preservation. Figure 3.17 represents the projected distribution of new housing units relative to respective income groups over the next six years. The distribution between single family and multi-family dwelling units is consistent with the mix of types of housing units reported in the Year 2000 Census.

Figure 3.17

**Auburn's Year 2010 Housing Target  
Recommended by King County**

	Total 2000	SF 2010	MF 2010	Total New HU	Total HU Yr 2010	% Total Yr 2020
Less than 50%	5347	0	777	777	6124	23%
50%-80%	4841	100	407	507	5348	20%
80%-120%	3944	1224	816	2040	5984	23%
120%+	3552	4500	769	5269	8821	34%
Totals	17684	5824	2769	8593	26277	100%

Barriers to Affordable Housing

With its consistently lower rents, Auburn’s rental housing market continues to offer some of the most affordable rental opportunities within the county. In addition, the median cost for purchasing a home in Auburn continues to be lower than the King County median cost for home ownership. Auburn remains one of the leading cities in the county for providing affordable home ownership opportunities. However, given the high concentration of low income people in Auburn, home ownership is less likely an option for many Auburn residents. Auburn residents with very low incomes (less than 50% of the King county median income) are likely to have excessive housing costs.

Since Auburn currently has one of the highest concentrations of affordable housing for low and moderate income groups in the county, continued emphasis will be placed on the preservation, maintenance and improvement of this existing stock and on improving the living environment of predominantly low income neighborhoods. Future housing needs will be addressed primarily through market forces. The City will continue to assist in the development of affordable housing alternatives for households not reached by the market (e.g., emergency shelter, transitional housing, etc.) in accordance with Auburn’s fair share of the region’s need for such housing.

Over the past twenty years, Auburn has responded positively to the housing needs of low and moderate income groups. Over the next twenty years, Auburn will attempt to economically integrate its community by diversifying its housing stock to include all income groups. Auburn currently has a relatively small portion of households consisting of middle and higher income groups. By striving to bring its number of low and moderate income households in line with the rest of King County, while increasing the growth rate of households with more affluent incomes, Auburn should achieve a more even distribution and diversity of socio-economic groups.

The City of Auburn's Comprehensive Land Use Plan includes policies and recommendations to develop Auburn into a collection of culturally diverse and economically integrated neighborhoods consisting predominantly of single family residences, joined together by a pedestrian oriented transportation system, along with complementary public spaces, educational facilities, recreational and social services

sufficient to promote and sustain an amenable quality of life. Development activities will cultivate a sustainable community wherein:

- Home buyers and renters of all income groups have sufficient opportunities to procure affordable housing.
- Existing neighborhoods along with properties of special and/or historic value are preserved for enjoyment and enhancement of future generations.
- A balanced mix of affordable housing types exist in order to meet the needs of all economic segments of the population.
- Public and private agencies implement policies and offer programs or projects that help alleviate physical and economic distress; conserve energy resources; improve the quality and quantity of community services; and eliminate conditions that are detrimental to health, safety and public welfare.
- Residential developments are monitored for purpose of reducing the isolation of income groups and groups with special needs; the determination of existing and future housing needs along with better utilization of land and other resources that enhance the availability of affordable housing opportunities.

### **Fair Housing:**

The City of Auburn is committed to providing equal access and opportunity to housing choices for all people. The Fair Housing Act prohibits discrimination in housing because of race or color, national origin, religion, gender, familial status and/or physical disabilities. Complaints received by the City pertaining to alleged violations of the Fair Housing Act are referred to:

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Seattle District Office</b> <b>Washington State Human Rights Commission</b> <b>1511 - 3rd Ave #921, Seattle Washington 98101</b> <b>phone #206-464-6500</b></p>
---

When a complaint is filed, the Commission acts as a neutral fact-finder. It gathers facts about the situation and then determines whether there is cause to believe that discrimination occurred. The Commission will send a written notice to the person(s) alleged to have committed the act of discrimination. The Commission will ask for a written response to the charge. A Commission Investigator will investigate the complaint by gathering more evidence, interviewing witnesses, or conducting site visits. Whenever appropriate, the Commission will meet with both sides to explore resolving the issues. Upon completion of the investigation, the Commission will issue a finding. If the evidence does not support the charge of discrimination, the Commission will issue a finding of "no reasonable cause" to believe discrimination occurred. If the Commission finds that there is reasonable cause to believe discrimination occurred, it will seek conciliation of the complaint. Appropriate remedies in the conciliation process may include back pay, reinstatement, rent refunds, or training to eliminate the unfair practice.

If conciliation fails, the complaint may be turned over to the Attorney General's office for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge. The Commissioners may grant reconsideration if the party can show that there was a misapplication of the law, or that relevant information provided during the investigation was not considered in the finding.

#### Impediments to Fair Housing:

Auburn is becoming more racially and ethnically diverse. Approximately 11% of its population was born in foreign countries. Over one-third of its households have children. Auburn also has a large population of people with physical disabilities. Approximately 9% of Auburn's adult population has a physical disability. All of these are factors for which the potential for housing discrimination may occur.

Several years ago the King County HOME Consortium, which includes the City of Auburn, conducted an "Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choices in King County." This analysis found that the most common cited basis for complaints involved "refusal to rent" and "coercion". Thirty-four percent of the cases filed alleged discrimination based upon disability status. People of color or other national origins filed 50% of the cases. Successful conciliation or settlement occurred in 44% of the cases, while "no cause" was determined in 32% of the cases. The rest were either withdrawn or had another form of disposition.

One of the conditions to receiving a Community Development Block Grant is to periodically analyze local impediments to fair housing. The City uses this information to determine actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis. The City of Auburn continues to implement changes identified in its updated analysis of impediments to fair housing. The progress in the implementation of this analysis is included in the annual update of this Consolidated Plan. Copies of the report presenting the findings from the analysis of fair housing impediments are available at City Hall for public review.

#### Affirmative Marketing Plan to Promote Fair Housing:

The City of Auburn administers all its programs and activities related to housing and community development in a manner to affirmatively further the policies of the Fair Housing Act. It requires that all contractors, subcontractors, sub-recipients, owners, landlords, and vendors will not discriminate against any person on the basis of their race, color, ethnicity, religion, gender, familial status or physical abilities. Towards these ends, the City proposes to implement the following affirmative marketing strategy:

- Recipients of public funds administered or provided by the City of Auburn for housing and community development activities must agree to comply and promote the Fair Housing Act. Certification of their agreement to comply and promote the Fair Housing Act will be a condition to a grant recipient's eligibility for City funds.

- Brochures and flyers regarding the provisions of the Fair Housing Act will be distributed to social service agencies, business firms engaged in activities associated with housing, and the local library.
- Community service announcements and press releases will be placed on public access cable television channels.
- All announcements, program advertisements, solicitations, brochures and flyers prepared and distributed by the City of Auburn for housing and community development activities will display the Equal Housing Opportunity logo or slogan.
- Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity posters in Spanish, Ukraine and English will be displayed at City Hall and at the public library.

Records will be maintained regarding the actions taken by the City of Auburn and its grant recipients for a period of five years following the end of each program year. These records will be available for public and HUD review. Updating the analysis of fair housing impediments will become part of the planning process for the Consolidated Plan. The City of Auburn will annually assess the success of its affirmative marketing actions and determine if any corrective or additional strategies are needed to better inform people about their equal rights to housing choices.

#### Lead Based Paint

Over the past 100 years, the percentage of lead in paint has varied from 50%, common in the 1940's to 0.6% after the Consumer Product Safety Commission ban in 1978. Older housing, particularly housing built before 1940, is at highest risk for containing lead-based paint. Using an estimation technique developed by The National Center for Lead-Safe Housing, a rough estimate of the number of housing units at highest risk for lead based paint hazards was developed. The percentage of housing units occupied by very low-income renters is used by HUD as an indicator of highest risk. Auburn may have approximately 1,659 housing units with a potentially high risk of lead-based paint somewhere within the particular housing unit.

---

## CHAPTER 3B: HOUSING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT NEEDS

---

### Homeless and Special Needs Populations:

#### Homeless Populations:

The lack of reliable, non-duplicative data makes estimating the homeless population in Auburn impossible. For instance, some of the homeless families served by the YWCA shelters in Auburn were transient and had never lived in Auburn until they were placed in the shelter. On the other hand, some families residing in shelters located in other cities had previously lived in Auburn. At best estimates of Auburn's "at-risk" can be measured relative to characteristics and trends of King County's homeless population in general.

#### Regional Characteristics and Trends

The "One Night Count of Homeless People in Seattle/King County" has been conducted annually for the past 28 years. The Seattle/King County Coalition for the Homeless (SKCCH), a partnership of not-for-profit and government agencies that collaborates to address the needs of homeless people, assumes oversight of this event.

The 2008 Annual One Night Count was conducted on January 25, 2008, which marks the first year Auburn has participated in the Annual One Night Count program.. It is estimated that a total of 8,439 people are homeless in King County on any given night. The survey of shelters and transitional programs found 5,808 people utilizing services on the One Night Count. The street count portion of the count found 2,631 people surviving outside without shelter, 40 of whom were counted in Auburn.

According to the 2006 Annual One Night Count there were 7,910 people who were homeless. The 2008 count represents a 7% increase in King County's homeless population in the previous two years.

Figure 3.21  
**2008 One-Night Count**

	2006	2007	2008
Street Count	1,946	2,159	2,631
Shelters & Transitional Housing	5,964	5,680	5,808
Totals	7,910	7,839	8,439

Source: Seattle/King Co Coalition on Homelessness

The One Night Count survey asks participants to list the last permanent address of people utilizing their services. A permanent address is defined as a place occupied for at least two months, excluding shelter and transitional housing. This information was collected for

over 70% of the households who were accessing services. The following table summarizes the results. The total number of survey respondents who indicated South King County as their last permanent address was 15%.

Figure 3.23  
**Last Permanent Address of Households Surveyed**

	# of Households	Emergency Shelter	Transitional Housing	Total %
Seattle	1,772	60%	49%	55%
Out of State	483	17%	12%	15%
South King County	499	11%	20%	15%
WA State {outside of King Co}	189	5%	7%	6%
North or East King County	298	4%	6%	4%
Unknown/info not monitored	551	na	na	na

Source: Seattle/King Co Coalition for the Homeless, "28th Annual Count", March 2008

Factors Contributing to Homelessness

When the survey was conducted, the King County median household income was \$81,400 per year for a family of four. Of the households served on the night of the survey, 70% had incomes below 30% of the KCMI. Among the homeless people surveyed 19% of them received income from employment, 8% received public assistance, 9% met the federal disability requirements to receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI), and 16% had no income at all.

Of the total individuals who were counted, approximately 3,180 provided information relating to contributing factors. The survey allowed for more than one factor to be attributed to an individual. Among the factors identified, economic or financial loss and domestic violence were most frequently cited. While underlying issues such as substance abuse and mental health are root causes to many of these factors, they are not specifically identified as main indicators of the cause of homelessness. These underlying issues oftentimes are factors of the job loss, domestic violence, abuse, etc.

<b>Factors Contributing</b>	
Economic or Financial Loss	19%
Domestic Violence	19%
Transience	13%
Eviction or Displacement	12%
Family Crisis (divorce, widowed, etc)	12%
Physical/Emotional Abuse	10%
Other	9%
Did not meet housing criteria	3%
Racism	1%
Minor Asked to Leave Home	1%

### Auburn's At-Risk Homeless Population:

Among the several factors that contribute to homelessness, only a few of them are measurable. Nonetheless, HUD requires the Consolidated Plan include a description and estimate of individuals who are currently housed but threatened with homelessness. For the purposes of meeting these guidelines, Auburn's "at-risk" homeless population is defined as a percentage of households that are overcrowded and/or significantly unaffordable. It also includes a percentage of victims of domestic violence who are fleeing physical or emotional abuse.

#### (a) Overcrowded Households

Overcrowded conditions may indicate a "hidden homeless" population of families who might be doubling up with other families. The Census Bureau defines overcrowding as more than one person per room. Rooms include all livable subunits within the housing unit including bathrooms, kitchens, enclosed porches suitable for year-round use, and basements. For example, a family of four in a one-bedroom apartment would be overcrowded. Overcrowding is an indicator for homelessness because some or all of the occupants are at risk of displacement or eviction – for instance, if the overcrowded conditions violate the rental agreement. The 2002 One-Night Count found that 12% of the population surveyed was homeless due to eviction and/or displacement. The Year 2000 Census found 446 households, with a total population of approximately 1,560 people, met the Census Bureau's definition of overcrowded. Approximately three-fourths of these households were rental units.

#### (b) Significantly Unaffordable

Another potential indicator of homelessness is households with "significantly unaffordable" housing costs. "Significantly Unaffordable" is defined as households that pay 50% or more of their household income for housing. Any number of unexpected expenses or a financial crisis puts at jeopardy the household's ability to pay their rent or mortgage. The 2002 One-Night Count found 19% of the survey population was homeless due to financial and/or economic loss the Census found 1,878 households who paid 50% or more for their household income for housing. Three-fourths of them are rental units. In the event of a financial crisis, a homeowner has options that a renter does not have. For instance, they can sell their home and/or refinance their mortgage. For this reason, only the "significantly unaffordable" rental units are included in the estimation of Auburn's at-risk homeless population. The total population of these "significantly unaffordable" rental units is approximately 3,595 people. In the year 2007 during a two week period in May, KCHA received 11,000 applications for their section 8 housing voucher list.

(c) Victims of Domestic Violence:

Police Incidents from the Auburn Police Department indicate an average of 772 incidents of domestic violence (including arrest) per year. Assuming each incident is a separate household, and based on the average household size provided by the U.S. Census, less one perpetrator; the estimated population is approximately 1,235 victims. The 2007 One-Night Count found that 15% of the survey population of 2000 was homeless due to domestic violence.

In order to convert the above at-risk populations into an estimated need for both facilities and services, the above at-risk populations are converted into the estimated number of beds necessary to accommodate the homeless population at one-point in time. The estimate assumes that the entire at-risk population will not need services all at the same time; but rather, services will be parceled-out over the period of a year. The "Homeless Continuum of Care Plan" developed by the King County Homelessness Housing and Services Program states the average length of stay at an emergency shelter is 14 nights. Using this average, the following estimate was developed regarding the level of service that might be required to accommodate Auburn's at-risk homeless population.

Figure 3.25

**Estimate of Auburn's At-Risk Homeless Population**

Depended Variables:	#HH	Est Pop	Estimated Propensity	At-Risk Population
-- victims of domestic violence	384	576	38%	219
-- significantly unaffordable housing costs	1438	3595	19%	683
-- overcrowding	446	1561	13%	203
<b>Total At-Risk Population</b>				1105
<b>Average Bednights</b>				14
<b>Total Bednights Per Year</b>				15470
<b>Total Bednights Per Day</b>				42

a  
b  
c=(a\*b)  
d=(c/365)

Homeless Continuum of Care

The City of Auburn cooperates with King County and other local jurisdictions to plan services and facilities for homelessness and homeless prevention. The County applies annually for McKinney grant funds to serve the homeless and at-risk populations; agencies in Auburn are eligible to apply for these funds much as they apply for HOME funds through the consortium.

(a) Emergency Shelter

Auburn has two shelters: one shelter is operated by the YWCA and the other is operated by Auburn Youth Resources (AYR). The YWCA shelter consists of two 2-bedroom units that contain approximately six total beds. The shelter primarily serves one and two parent families with children. Occupants can stay in the shelter

for up to three months. While in shelter, the YWCA refers the tenants to appropriate health and social services. The AYR shelter has approximately six total beds. It serves primarily runaway and abandoned youth. Counseling, education, information and referral services along with foster home placement are provided.

(b) Transitional Housing

The YWCA has twelve units of transitional housing in Auburn. These twelve units serve primarily homeless families. Tenants may stay in the transitional housing for up to two years while only paying 30% of their income for rent. Half of this payment goes into a savings account for use when the household moves on to permanent housing. The YWCA provides counseling and referral services for these shelters.

(c) Daytime Facilities

Auburn currently does not have any day shelters, soup kitchens or other daytime only facilities. The Auburn Community Supper program offers a free supper once a week at a local church.

Other Facilities, Social and Supportive Services

- St. Vincent de Paul coordinates a hotel voucher program for the homeless. The Salvation Army has recently opened a South King County office to provide emergency assistance for individuals and families.
- In addition to providing shelter for homeless youth, Auburn Youth Resources offers other services including: 1) Individual, group and family counseling; 2) Support groups for teen parents and victims of sexual abuse; 3) Alcohol/drug evaluations, counseling and education services; 4) Employment services; and 5) 24 hour crisis intervention and foster home placement.
- The Auburn Food Bank provides emergency assistance with rent and utility bills. It also administers the local food and clothing bank.
- Auburn's churches provide social services in the amount of \$150,000 - \$200,000 annually in the form of emergency rental assistance, food, shelter, and clothing, among other basic survival needs.
- The City's Finance Department administers a utilities (water and sewer) reimbursement program for low income Auburn senior citizens as well as the 'Auburn Cares' program which
- In addition to the services mentioned above, several agencies outside of Auburn serve Auburn residents. Agencies which receive financial support from the City include the following: 1) The Domestic Abuse Women's Network (DAWN) provides shelter and services for victims for domestic abuse; 2) Washington Women's Employment and Education (WWE) provides employment training and placement services to low income and unemployed persons; 3) Catholic Community Services provides a number of support services to low income and homeless persons including emergency housing

assistance; and 4) YWCA provides emergency assistance to the homeless and victims of domestic violence.

## **Victims of Domestic Violence**

Victims of domestic violence are another subpopulation group that requires special attention. Each year the City of Auburn allocates nearly \$100,000 or more for services to victims of domestic violence.

### **Regional Characteristics and Trends**

Statistics about domestic violence speak volumes about the serious nature of this national epidemic. In the United States, according to information distributed by the King County Coalition Against Domestic Violence (KCCADV), a woman is beaten every nine seconds by her intimate partner. On average more than three women per day are murdered by their husbands or boyfriends in the U.S. One in three women has been beaten, coerced into sex or otherwise abused during her lifetime. Domestic violence tends to escalate during pregnancy. As many as 60% of battered women experience abuse during their pregnancy.

Auburn is no exception to these national trends. Police reports indicate an average of 772 incidences of domestic violence each year. These figures are conservative. Many reports of assault are connected to domestic violence and are not included in these numbers. In any event, Auburn police officers are responding to two or more reports of domestic violence each day.

The impact of domestic violence on children must not be overlooked. KCCADV reports that during 2007 approximately 1,355 South King County women received services out of which these victims had a total of 1,761 children. National statistics indicate over half of men who abuse their female partners also abuse children. In homes with four or more children, the figure leaps to over 90%. For the children whose mothers are abused, they are six times more likely to attempt suicide and 50% more likely to abuse drugs and alcohol. Fifty percent of all mental health care dollars are spent on adults who were abused as children.

For every single victim of domestic violence served by local shelters, eleven other victims are turned away. Each year, in King County, more than 15,000 women and children fleeing violent homes are turned away from shelter because of lack of space. Domestic violence was the leading cause of homelessness in King County outside of Seattle. These figures do not include the many more women and children who are sleeping in their cars or farmed-out to friends and relatives.

Factors Contributing to Domestic Violence:

There is no clear method or list of characteristics that determine who will be likely victims of domestic violence; aside from the fact that it is most likely to be a woman (85% of batterers are reported to be male). Domestic violence crosses all socio-economic boundaries, affecting women of every age, race, religion, ethnicity, and sexual orientation, regardless of marital status. Although women at every income level might experience domestic violence, the majority of welfare recipients have experienced domestic violence in their adult lives and a high percentage currently live in abusive situations. For women of color, immigrant and refugee women, bisexual, lesbian and transgender women, and women with disabilities, they encounter many additional barriers when they seek help. As described in a study by the Seattle/King County Department of Public Health, key barriers include lack of knowledge about the law, lack of knowledge about the availability of available services, and lack of safe, affordable housing.

Existing Services and Facilities:

In 2008, the City of Auburn provided more than \$100,000 in General Fund grants to provide services to approximately 225 victims of domestic violence and/or sexual assault. The funds are allocated among ten agencies, these are listed below:

... Consejo	10,000
... Crisis Clinic	3,000
... DAWN	9,000
... King County Sexual Assault Resource Center	22,500
... Jubilee Center: Latino Program	7,500
... Sound Mental Health: Behavioral Responsibility	10,000
... Sound Mental Health: DV Therapy	7,000
... Valley Cities Counseling: DV Advocacy	12,000
... YWCA: Children DV Services	5,000
... YWCA: DV Services	20,000
<b>subtotal:</b>	<b>106,000</b>

In addition to these, the City runs a Domestic Violence Victim Support Team (DVVST) which responds with Police to various instances of domestic violence and sexual assault in Auburn. The team is funded with General Fund monies and operates under the direction of the City's Domestic Violence Legal Advocate in the Legal department.

In 2006 representatives from agencies in South King County that serve victims of domestic violence met to prioritize the service needs. The service needs that emerged as priorities are listed below according to their priority.


- Priority #1: More culturally competent and accessible services for refugee and immigrant DV survivors.
- Priority #2: More services to children who are experiencing domestic violence.
- Priority #3: Coordinated delivery of sub-regional services.
- Priority #4: More confidential shelter beds and/or safe havens.

**English Proficiency**

Around 14% of Auburn's population speaks a language other than English in their homes. Among these households, a total of 750 households {5% of total households} identify themselves as "linguistically isolated". In other words, households in which no person 14 years of age or older speak only English or speak English very well. The principal household languages other than English are Spanish, Ukraine, Vietnamese and Russian.

Auburn has a higher percentage of linguistically isolated households among Spanish and other European languages than the rest of King County. The following chart compares the percentage of linguistically isolated households by language group. For instance, among all the households in Auburn in which Spanish is spoken, approximately 25% do not have anybody over the age of 14 years that speak English.

Figure 3.9  
**Linguistically Isolated Households**



## **Residents with Disabilities**

### Regional Characteristics and Trends:

According to a U.S. Census, approximately 15% of King County's adult population between 21 to 64 years of age has a disability. Among the County's disabled population, nearly two-thirds of them are employed. The Washington State Independent Living Council reports that 9% are considered "severely" disabled or approximately 112,000 residents. As the population ages over the next 20 years, this percentage is likely to increase according to the Independent Living Council.

### Auburn's Population

The Census reports that Auburn had 14,445 over five years of age that are living with a disability. The Census defines a disability as a long-lasting physical, mental, or emotional condition. The Census divides disabilities into the following six categories. "Sensory Disabilities" pertain to long-lasting conditions such as blindness, deafness, or a severe vision or hearing impairment. "Physical disabilities" include people with a condition that substantially limits one or more basic physical activities, such as walking, climbing stairs, reaching, lifting, or carrying. The remaining four categories include people with physical, mental, or emotional conditions lasting six months or longer that make it difficult to perform certain activities. The four activity categories were: (a) learning, remembering, or concentrating (mental disability); (b) dressing, bathing, or getting around inside the home (self-care disability); (c) going outside the home alone to shop or visit a doctor's office (going outside the home disability); and, (d) working at a job or business (employment disability). The data includes some duplication; for instance, somebody with a sensory disability may also have an employment disability.

**Figure 3.10**  
**Residents with Disabilities**

Type of Disability	5-15 yrs	16-64 yrs	65 yrs +	Totals
Sensory disability	101	875	932	1908
Physical disability	41	1977	1617	3635
Mental disability	312	1256	653	2221
Self-care disability	0	473	658	1131
Go-outside-home disability	na	1,496	1211	2707
Employment disability	na	2,843	0	2843
Totals	454	8920	5071	14445

## **Hunger**

### Regional Characteristics and Trends:

Washington State has the fifth worst hunger level in the national according to the U.S. Department of Agriculture. "Food Insecurity", or not knowing from where your next meal

will come, is approximately 40% higher in Western Washington than the rest of the nation. Approximately 75% of the residents in Western Washington who use food banks are employed or have some source of income. One main reason Western Washington has a high rate of hunger is the high cost of housing. Increased housing costs consume a larger percentage of housing income leaving less money available for food.

### Auburn's Population

Food banks in South King County report that the requests for food bank assistance are continuing to grow with the greatest increase in working families with children. Food banks are now providing on-going support to low-income working households instead of periodic distributions of emergency food rations. The Auburn Food Bank serves a total of 10,500 clients per year out of which 9,500 are Auburn residents. It distributes an average of 70 pounds of food to 1,657 families per month and 27 homebound clients per month. Staff at the Food Bank estimate the amount of food they are distributing is approximately 25% more than in recent years. The number of clients served by the Food Bank is roughly equivalent to the number of low-income households in Auburn.

## **Senior Citizens**

### Regional Characteristics and Trends:

From 2000 to 2008 the number of senior citizens over the age of 60 years increased from 3,444 to 4,580 people. By 2013 the number of elderly 65 years and older in Auburn who experience difficulties with activities of daily living is expected to increase by 38%. The availability of affordable housing will continue to not keep pace with demand. The Area Plan on Aging identified an immediate need for an additional 1,475 units of affordable housing for seniors in King County.

### Auburn's Population:

Approximately 15% of Auburn's total population are seniors age 60 years or older. Senior women significantly out-number men. Roughly 20% of Auburn's total households have individuals over the age of 65 years. The average size of the senior household is 1.45 persons, which means the majority of them live alone. The median income of a senior household is \$30,000 per year, which is 25% less than the Auburn median household income of \$39,208 per year and less than half of the King County median household income of \$53,157 per year. Approximately 60% of Auburn's senior households are very-low income and 20% are low-moderate income. The 2000 Census indicates 70% of Auburn's seniors live in owner-occupied housing. In a survey of area seniors, affordable housing and transportation were identified as the two greatest needs followed by in-home care and home repairs.

## Chemical Dependencies

### Regional Characteristics and Trends:

From January 2004 through June 2006 there were a total of 18,857 assessments in King County with 90% resulting in patient admission for chemical dependencies. Among these adults, approximately 1,000 are classified as chronically publicly inebriated – most located in the City of Seattle, particularly its downtown core. Approximately 9% of the County's rural population is in need of treatment, while a higher proportion of urban residents (11%) are in need of treatment.

The continuum of care developed by the King County Division of Alcohol and Substance Abuse Services strongly contends that housing is a vital component to the treatment and recovery of people with chemical dependencies. A number of barriers inhibit the development and/or provision of housing for chemically dependent individuals and their children. Among low-income persons, continued chemical dependency threatens their housing stability because it can affect employment and income stability and their ability to be good tenants. Finding a site for housing for people with chemical dependencies is difficult. Communities react strongly to what they perceive as dangerous individuals in the vicinity of their children, community safety and property.

According to information from the Crisis Clinic, the following agencies provide housing for people with chemical dependencies in South King.

- Always Hope – nine locations throughout South King County
- Catholic Community Services – Katherine House: confidential
- Conquest Center – Tukwila
- Multi-Service Center – Kent and Federal Way
- Vine Maple Place – Maple Valley

### Auburn's Population:

Estimating Auburn's population of people with chemical dependencies is difficult to impossible to determine. Using the estimates provided by the King County Consolidated Plan (11% of the total population), Auburn could have as many as 5,000 people with chemical dependencies. In 2008 the Auburn Police Department reports indicate that roughly 10% of the total police incidents involved drugs or alcohol. These figures are very conservative because they do not include traffic violations.

Auburn has several agencies that provide treatment and support recovery for people with chemical dependencies. Among them, these agencies are the following:

- Police Department: Drug Abuse Education
- Auburn Youth Resources: Chemical Dependency Services

- Childhaven: Drug-Affected Infant Program
- Encourage Counseling Services: Christian counseling program
- Future Visions: DUI Court Related Services
- Milam Recovery Centers: Outpatient Addiction Treatment Services
- Muckleshoot Nation: Muckleshoot Tribal Alcohol Program
- Auburn Municipal Court: Alternatives to Incarceration and Treatment Release Programs

## **Youth and Children**

### Regional Characteristics and Trends:

The King County Consolidated Plan reports that cities in south King County identified prevention of child abuse and neglect as a priority need in their communities. The Washington State Child Protective Services reported a total of 11,477 accepted cases of physical and mental child abuse in 2007 for King County, which is an increase of 45% from 1998.

According to a survey conducted by the United Way of King County in 2007, youth crime is another regional concern. Other concerns uncovered in area surveys include an increase in number of children not being ready to learn when they attend school, youth substance abuse problems, and lack of services for children and youth involved in domestic violence or abuse.

The King County Consolidated Plan estimates that 60% of all children need child care services. It estimates a demand for 75,000 childcare slots; whereas King County has only 42,300 licensed childcare slots. Demand for childcare is slightly less than twice as high as the number of childcare slots available. For low-income working families, finding affordable childcare is almost impossible without some kind of subsidy. Service providers have seen an increased demand for before and after school scholarships, childcare subsidies, child care for special needs children, and child care especially for infant care for evening, part-time and weekend hours.

Among youth arrested for crimes, approximately 40% are under 15 years of age or less and 60% are between 15 to 18 years of age. The majority of the crimes committed by youth occur between 3 p.m. and 5 p.m. Numerous studies indicate the rate of crimes committed by youth are significantly less in school attendance areas with after-school programs that provide 12 hours or more of supervised activities. Among older children, out-of-school programs create pathways for academic support, service learning, youth empowerment, youth leadership, employment, career development, culture, arts, media, recreation and crime prevention.

### Auburn's Population:

Poverty is particularly harmful for children as they grow up. Approximately 18% of Auburn's children under the age of 18 years live in poverty. In South King County,

approximately 71% of the clients who use local food banks are families with children. Poverty typically means a family and neighborhood environment with limited opportunities for quality experiences that help a young child grow into resilient and independent adults. Family poverty often entails reduced monitoring, parent psychological distress and substance abuse, punitive parenting and less parental support for child's early learning skills. There is considerable evidence indicating programs that deliver carefully designed interventions with well-defined goals can affect both the parenting behavior and the development trajectories of children who are threatened by socio-economic disadvantage. Programs that combine child-focused educational activities with explicit attention to parent-child interaction patterns and relationship-building have the greatest effects.

Anecdotal reports from truancy officers and other school personnel indicate a connection between self-care before school and truancy issues. Schools providing before and after school tend to have a lower truancy rate than schools without similar programs. Among youth arrested for crimes, approximately 40% are under 15 years of age or less and 60% are between 15 to 18 years of age. The majority of the crimes committed by youth occur between 3 p.m. and 5 p.m. Numerous studies indicate the rate of crimes committed by youth are significantly less in school attendance areas with after-school programs that provide 12 hours or more of supervised activities.

---

## **Chapter 4A: Auburn's Human Service Strategy for 2010-2014**

---

### **Introduction**

This section summarizes the City of Auburn's housing and community development strategy. It begins with an overview of federal, regional and city objectives. It proceeds to outline goals and objectives to achieve the strategy. These goals and objectives are used to review grant applications and determine which grants the City ought to award. The next section will outline the activities, outcomes and outputs proposed by grant recipients selected for funding.

### **Federal, Regional and City Priorities**

Auburn's municipal code establishes that the primary responsibility of the city is to provide for the health, safety and welfare of its citizens. While these services are important to all citizens, low-income residents are particularly affected by these services to maintain their quality of life. The City of Auburn supports national, regional and local efforts to address the social service needs of both the region and the City.

#### **Federal Agenda:**

The federal Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) provides cities, such as the City of Auburn, financial assistance to help them meet certain national objectives. Regional and federal policies advance the accomplishment of three basic goals. First, programs are to provide decent housing. Second, programs are to provide a suitable living environment. Third, programs are to expand economic opportunities.

#### **Provide Decent Housing:**

Decent housing includes helping homeless persons obtain appropriate housing and preventing persons from becoming homeless. It includes retention of affordable housing stocks and increasing the availability of permanent housing, in standard condition and affordable cost, to low-income and very low-income families. Federally-funded programs put particular emphasis on providing assistance to members of disadvantaged minorities without discrimination due to race, color, religion, sex national origin, familial status, or disability. Decent housing also includes increasing the supply of supportive housing which combines structural features and services needed to enable persons with special needs to live with dignity and independence.

#### **Establish and Maintain a Suitable Living Environment:**

A suitable living environment includes improving the safety and livability of neighborhoods. Improvements involve access to quality public and private facilities and services. It includes reducing the isolation of income groups within the community through the spatial de-concentration of housing opportunities for persons of lower income and

revitalizing the deteriorating or deteriorated neighborhoods. Programs geared toward suitable living environment include the restoration and preservation of properties of special historic, architectural or aesthetic value and conservation of energy resources.

### Expanded Economic Opportunities:

Expanded economic opportunities includes the provision of jobs to low-income persons and the availability of mortgage financing for low-income persons at reasonable rates using nondiscriminatory lending practices. Programs entail access to capital and credit for development activities that promote the long-term economic and social viability of the community, and the empowerment and self-sufficiency of low-income persons so as to reduce generational poverty.

### **Regional Agenda:**

Both the demand and supply of human services is not limited or restrained by jurisdictional boundaries. A conglomeration of regional funding sources typically supports the delivery of human services by regional agencies. In 2002, the Regional Policy Committee of the Metropolitan King County Council provided a framework for developing countywide partnerships for provision of human services. Human services were grouped into five regional goals. The United Way, King County, Seattle, Bellevue, and the South King County Human Services Forum, which includes the City of Auburn, adopted these goals. The services related to these goals are not mandated services and, as such, are particularly vulnerable to funding reductions during revenue shortfalls. These regional goals can be summarized as follows. The goal statements are not listed in any order of priority.

- Food to eat and a roof overhead: Pertains to basic human essentials as food and shelter.
- Supportive relationships within families, neighborhoods, and communities: Pertains to opportunities for social bonding and providing a network of support for those in need.
- Safe haven from all forms of violence and abuse: Assurance that people are safe and feel secure in their communities, including school, home and work.
- Health care to be as physically and mentally fit as possible: Preventing catastrophic consequences, such as death or permanent disability, from curable illnesses and injuries.
- Education and job skills to lead an independent life: Developing the capacity of residents to acquire and maintain a living wage job is dependent on having the education and job skills that match the needs of employers.

These regional goals are not inclusive of all human services. In particular, they do not include services primarily supported by federal and state funding such as housing, employment assistance, criminal justice, mental health, chemical dependency, and

developmental disabilities services and state managed programs, such as foster care, welfare, Medicaid or Medicare. The adequacy of these services locally, does however, impact the demand for regional human services, and King County has provided some local funding for mental health and substance abuse services for youth and adults identified in the criminal justice system.

**City Agenda:**

In line with the City of Auburn's acquiescence that it is a partner in the regional delivery and provision of human services, the City adopted the community goals developed through the regional human service planning process. Towards this end, the City of Auburn will consider supporting regional human service programs when their services provide a clear and evident benefit to the residents of Auburn. The City's role in funding local human services strives to enhance the regional delivery of services within the Auburn community. The City may consider local initiatives to provide human services when:

- Regional agencies are not responding to the urgent needs of Auburn residents.
- The demand or need for services requires more attention than what regional agencies can provide without additional subsidies.
- Special services are needed to meet specific local needs.

**City Priorities:**

Within these agendas there is a broad range of demands for human services. The local demand for human services greatly exceeds the City's financial capabilities. Among all the competing demands for human services, the following outcomes and output goals are the City of Auburn's priority concerns during the next four years.

Figure 4.1  
**Summary of National, Regional  
and Local Goals**

**National Agenda**

- Provide Decent Housing
- Establish and Maintain a Suitable Living Environment
- Expand Economic Opportunities

**Regional Agenda**

- Food to eat and a roof overhead
- Supportive relationships within families, neighborhoods and communities
- A safe have from all forms of violence and abuse
- Health care to be a physically and mentally fit as possible
- Education and job skills that lead toward an independent life

**Local Agenda**

- Respond to urgent needs that are not addressed by regional agencies.
- Increase the level of service provided by regional agencies to meet the demand for services required by local residents.

**Regional Goal: Food to Eat and a Roof Overhead:** Continue to ensure that people have access to basic necessities of life which, for the purposes of this planning process, are defined as food, clothing and housing.

**Outcome: Auburn residents will have access to emergency assistance for food.**

Output Goals:

- Delivery of services sufficient to distribute assistance to at least 11,000 low-income households per year.
- Keep up with growing demand for emergency food services by increasing storage capacity and coordinating regional partnerships among South King County food banks and food distributors.

**Outcome: Auburn residents will have access to emergency assistance in the event that they become, or will soon become, homeless.**

Output Goals:

- Maintain the availability of services to prevent approximately 1,000 Auburn residents from becoming homeless each year.
- Have available approximately 20 emergency home repair grants for very-low income, single-family homeowners who are at risk of becoming homeless unless repairs are made to keep their home habitable.

**Outcome: Auburn will continue to have affordable housing choices for all socioeconomic groups.**

Output Goals:

- Support regional efforts for the fair share distribution of affordable housing for low-income households.
- Renovate surplus public or commercial buildings which have become dilapidated and/or blighted, into affordable housing by regional non-profit agencies with proven experience to professionally manage low-income, multi-family housing.
- Encourage mixed-use developments in downtown Auburn.
- Create incentives for the development of planned communities that meet the unique needs of retired couples and senior citizens

**Regional Goal: Supportive Relationships within Families, Neighborhoods and Communities:** Creating opportunities for social bonding and providing a network of support for those in need is the definition of community.

**Outcome: Auburn has a network throughout the City of before and after school programs that provide supervised care, mentors and tutors for the children of working parents.**

Output Goals:

- Maintain and increase the availability of quality, affordable, accessible out-of-school care by developing partnerships between schools, faith-based organizations and non-profit agencies.
- Develop and circulate information about the value of out-of-school programs along with a directory that explains the nature of the offered program and its location.

**Outcome: An Auburn network of youth services that provide therapeutic and supportive services to low-income families with children who are at-risk of anti-social, destructive behaviors and/or academic failure.**

Output Goals:

- Support proven services for youth identified as being at-risk of developing criminal careers.
- Sustain and expand mental health and substance abuse treatment for low-income young people.
- Promote strategies to help parents/caregivers maintain nurturing relationships with children.

**Outcome: Auburn's senior citizens and people with disabilities have access to the supportive services they may need to live with dignity.**

Output Goal:

- Provide emergency home repairs to very-low income seniors and people with disabilities so that they can remain in their home.
- Prevent seniors and people with disabilities from becoming isolated by providing them transportation, respite care, meals along with other types of supportive programs.

**Outcome: Auburn has affordable childcare for its low-income working families.**

Output Goal:

- Continue to support regional efforts to subsidize the pro Outcomes of childcare services for low-income households.
- Help assure the facilities of childcare agencies that serve predominantly low-income households remain in good repair and condition.

**Regional Goal: Safe haven from all forms of abuse:** Freedom from violence and sexual assault is an inalienable human right that should be safeguarded in the community including schools, homes and the work environment.

**Outcome:** **Auburn residents who are victims of domestic violence or sexual assault, including the children of victims, have access to appropriate services that will protect them and help them heal.**

Output Goals:

- Provide crisis intervention through the continued support of regional crisis line and teen link services.
- Support regional efforts to provide and sustain comprehensive services to victims of domestic violence and sexual assault, including the provision of confidential shelters, advocates and therapy.
- When incidents occur on weekends when few resources are available; offer supportive services to victims of abuse and sexual assault until appropriate referrals to regional services can be made.
- Develop and provide culturally competent services for refugee and immigrant domestic violence survivors.
- Mobilize community resources to advocate against domestic violence and sexual assault, including community education, assistance to the children of victims, and the creation of a community fund to sustain services and meet unique needs.

**Regional Goal: Health care to be physically and mentally fit:** Good physical and mental health are recognized as both critical to the individual and an indicator regarding the quality of life a community provides its residents.

**Outcome:** **Within the city limits of Auburn are located non-profit agencies that provide health care to its low-income residents.**

Output Goals:

- Support a regional network of community health services that provide basic health care to low-income residents.
- Maintain a network of agencies providing services and therapy to residents with chemical dependencies.
- Assure the availability of services to residents trying to manage chronic diseases and losses caused by death.
- Family counseling and support groups for children, youth and/or parents at-risk of academic failure, anti-social, suicide, chemical dependences and/or criminal careers.

**Regional Goal: Education and job skills to lead an independent life:** The capacity to acquire and maintain a living wage job is dependent on having the education and job skills that match the needs of the employer.

**Outcome: Auburn has a central location that makes available a variety of services and assistance to individuals who are starting a business.**

Output Goals:

- Help potential entrepreneurs obtain the business skills they need to start and sustain a business.
- Provide potential entrepreneurs research and technical assistance on potential businesses in Auburn and means to finance them.

**Outcome: A collaborative relationship exists in Auburn between non-profit and educational organizations that strive to increase the average educational attainment of Auburn's adult labor force.**

Output Goals:

- Help low-income residents increase their level of employment by focusing on their literacy and mathematics skills.
- Provide low-income Auburn residents technical assistance and support to increase or improve their employability.

**Outcome: Strengthen downtown Auburn as a city focal point by building on existing assets, facilitating catalyst projects in key locations, stimulating infill and redevelopment, and constructing high-quality public infrastructure.**

Output Goals:

- Advance the implementation of Auburn's downtown plan.
- Develop linkages between downtown Auburn and adjacent neighborhoods.
- Research and technical assistance to expand employment opportunities in downtown Auburn.

---

## **Chapter 4B: Auburn's Human Service Strategy for 2010-2014**

---

### **Neighborhood Revitalization**

Over the past decade, the City of Auburn has experienced sustained demographic and economic growth. The core area of the City and selected residential areas have shared some of this past growth, but the majority of growth has occurred in areas on the periphery of Auburn. Urban planners refer to this phenomenon as the “rotten donut” effect where the inner city neighborhoods decline as growth moves to the urban fringes.

In response to the desire to protect the City’s investment in these areas, as well as to enhance their economic vitality and physical appearance, the City includes this "Neighborhood Revitalization" element in its Consolidated Plan. The Plan establishes procedures for the selection of target neighborhoods so that CDBG funds, along with other federal funds, may be used to preserve and/or revitalize the targeted neighborhood.

#### **Selection of Target Neighborhoods**

Target neighborhoods must be selected to meet the regulatory requirements of the HUD Community Development Block Grant Program. In particular, the neighborhood must satisfy the definition of an "area benefits". Area benefits are activities in locations where at least 51 percent of the residents are low- and moderate-income persons. Such an area need not be coterminous with census tracts or other officially recognized boundaries but must be the entire area served by the activity. An activity that serves an area that is not primarily residential in character shall not qualify under this criterion.

#### **Neighborhood Plan:**

HUD / CDBG regulations require a written process used to develop a neighborhood revitalization strategy. The "Neighborhood Plan" must be developed in consultation with members of the target neighborhood. Furthermore, the plan needs to contain the following components:

- Economic conditions of the neighborhood including levels of employment, number of businesses located in the neighborhood, condition of housing and public infrastructure.
- Describe opportunities for development including the demand and types of public facilities and/or services needed in the neighborhood.
- Describe the problems that the neighborhood is likely to face as it attempts to implement activities to meet its area wide needs.
- Performance measurements that include goals and anticipated results caused by implementing the Neighborhood Plan.

### **Local Investment Area:**

"Locally Investment Areas" are defined as neighborhoods within the city limits of Auburn that meet the criteria for activities funded by the CDBG program. The eligibility to expend CDBG funds on these activities is contingent upon the individual activity instead of its location.

### **City Priorities:**

Among the many activities that would be eligible for CDBG funding in neighborhoods that become local investment areas, the following are priority considerations for the City of Auburn's CDBG funding.

- (a) **Public Infrastructure:** Deferred maintenance on City streets, sidewalks and greenbelts has resulted in a crumbling infrastructure in many neighborhoods. Streets typically have a 15-year life and sidewalks have a life cycle of around 50 years. Auburn's inner city neighborhoods are between 50 to 100 years old. Most of the streets and sidewalks in these neighborhoods have never been rehabilitated. Additional resources may still be needed to address local neighborhood needs (for example, traffic calming projects), and the City needs to continue its efforts to make all pedestrian walkways accessible to persons with disabilities.
- (b) **Parks and Recreational Facilities:** Residents continue to express a need for improved recreational space and facilities. Outdoor space and facilities are typically in need of rehabilitation. The City of Auburn's Department of Parks and Recreation operates under a comprehensive park plan which also provides for an all-city utilized community center and activity center. Additional resources will be needed to address these improvements and local neighborhood needs.
- (c) **Environmental Protection:** A priority for any City is to preserve, protect and enhance its environmental assets for the health and enjoyment of residents and visitors. Auburn is a designated "Tree City USA". It is also a regional hub for mass transit. Improving the walkability of inner-city neighborhoods and reducing the reliance of its residents on single-vehicle automobiles is a priority consideration; including funds for planting trees, code compliance, installing bicycle paths along with other pedestrian amenities.

## **Auburn's Neighborhood Revitalization Strategy**

**Goal:**        **Implement neighborhood plan recommendations to preserve and/or revitalize targeted low and moderate-income neighborhoods.**

**Outcome:**    **Implement the City's growth management strategy by carrying out recommendations in adopted neighborhood plans.**

- Support the Department of Public Works capital improvements of streets and sidewalks.
- Support the Department of Parks and Recreation's master urban reforestation plan and its comprehensive park plan, especially for low-income youth.
- Support traffic calming in residential neighborhoods.
- Increase the number of places where families without backyards can play with young children.
- Develop an available community center and activity center for use by the residents of the City of Auburn.

**Outcome:**    **Connect the inner-city neighborhoods to downtown Auburn.**

- Support improved pedestrian and bicycle connections between neighborhoods and downtown Auburn.
- Install pedestrian crossing and other types of amenities, such as pocket parks, to make walking to downtown enjoyable.
- Analyze potential neighborhoods as potential for designated home-occupation land uses along with the amenities and parking necessary to maintain their residential character.

**Outcome:**    **Increase the number of low-income neighborhoods eligible for consideration as local investment areas.**

- Continue to work with neighborhood groups to identify local needs (including street improvements, neighborhood clean-ups, traffic calming, accessible sidewalks and recreational facilities) and develop strategies responsive to those needs.

## **Community Center Project**

The City of Auburn is working to develop an approximate 20,100 square foot community center building to be used for educational, cultural, social activities and large non-programmed community meeting spaces. The joint-use facility will feature a spacious and welcoming lobby and a large community gathering space for up to 350 people as well as dividable space for recreational activities, programs and classes. The center also includes meeting space/classrooms, lobby, kitchen, restrooms and storage. Area will also include office space, meeting rooms, break room and administrative areas for approximately 20 full-time and seasonal Parks, Arts & Recreation employees.

### **Project Description**

The City of Auburn is without a dedicated gathering space for a population of over 65,000 people. According to a 2005 Auburn Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan, the City of Auburn should have one Community Center available per 40,000 people and is deficient in this type of facility. The new Community Center will serve the entire community and be open year-round. It would be used for various health, fitness and wellness programs, multi-generational and multi-cultural events, special events, evening family programs, art, craft and music, community education and specialty classes, expanded youth and pre-school programs, meetings and corporate events, and wedding receptions.

### **Benefits for Auburn:**

- Contribute to community pride and foster a sense of community – create a community gathering place for neighbors, family and friends to meet
- Offer a broad range of activities promoting social interaction, especially with new residents of Auburn
- Provide access to services and recreational alternatives to residential housing surrounding the site for some of Auburn’s most economically and ethnically diverse population
- Provide maximum flexibility and multiple uses through design; adaptable to changing interests
- Provide a facility that is financially feasible, affordable for participants, and can generate revenue to offset a portion of operating costs

### **Les Gove Park and Community Campus**

At nearly 21 acres, Les Gove Park is a recreational and educational campus serving the entire community and located in one of Auburn’s lowest income census tracts. The cluster of amenities in this campus includes the King County library, Parks and Recreation Administration Building, Senior Activity Center and White River Valley Museum. The campus also includes the popular Rotary Spray Park, a barrier-free playground, public art, bocce courts, horseshoe pits and two softball fields. A half-mile paved trail encircles an attractive bermed and treed area. The City of Auburn offers a diverse population of over 65,000 residents. The Les Gove neighborhood is an area with a diversity of land uses and a diversity of people. The area adjacent to the Community Center includes single-family neighborhoods, multi-family areas, and a retail (commercial) area.

---

## **Chapter 4C: Auburn's Human Service Strategy for 2010-2014**

---

### **Auburn's Homeless Prevention Strategy**

A regional and local need that will remain a high priority relative to human services supported by the City of Auburn will be the prevention of homelessness. The City has historically provided funding to several regional and local agencies that provide assistance to homeless families. Through their cooperation, the City strives to maintain the following continuum of care for homeless families:

- Homeless prevention through the intervention of social service agencies offering food, clothing and financial assistance for rent and utilities and emergency home repairs.
- Temporary assistance offered by emergency shelters.
- Transitional housing used to begin stabilizing the family.
- Longer-term supportive housing to provide the family a stable environment and sufficient time for them to benefit from the social, educational, vocational and supportive services offered by local and regional agencies.
- Transition into affordable, permanent housing.

### Auburn's Housing Repair Program

Emergency home repairs are provided by the City of Auburn's Housing Repair Program. Over the past two years, 148 households participated in the City's Home Repair Program. Among these 148 households, 118 (80%) of the units were mobile homes. The median annual income of the participants was \$15,457 per year. The lowest annual income was zero and the highest was \$54,351 per year. Approximately 70% of the participants were essentially living in poverty.

Approximately 84 clients were served during PY2009. The cost of repairs varied between \$30 to \$5,400. The median cost of repairs was \$1,508. The most common types of repairs are roofs, water heaters and furnaces. The majority of the program participants are senior citizens. Approximately 64 participants were disabled.

Auburn's Housing Repair Program provides a grant of \$5,000 to eligible applicants. When circumstances require more expensive home repairs in order to keep the residence habitable, the grant may be increased to \$7,500 or, with the approval of the Planning Director, more if the nature of the repairs require it.

All applicants for the Housing Repair Program must meet HUD's income eligibility guidelines. In addition, the following selection criteria will apply:

- Eligibility will be limited to repairs to single family residences at imminent risk of becoming uninhabitable. Repairs will include, but are not limited to, roofs, furnaces, hot water tanks, plumbing and electrical.
- Grants are limited to single family residences, including mobile homes in designated parks, located within the city limits of Auburn.
- Eligibility will be limited to applicants that earn less than 50% of the King County median household income. Applicants who are senior citizens over the age of 65 years may have no more than \$50,000 in liquid assets. Applicants under the age of 65 years may have no more than \$10,000 in liquid assets.
- The estimated value of the dwelling unit to be repaired must be at least three times more than the cost of the emergency home repairs.
- All repairs must be completed within twelve months from the date of the grant award. Selected applicants will not be eligible for another housing repair grant for the next five years.

City staff plan to explore the feasibility of relocating residents who live in mobile homes that are uninhabitable and do not meet the above criteria; in particular, residences with a value of less than \$15,000. In addition to relocation assistance to the homeowner and their family, the program to be developed would need to include the demolition and removal of the dilapidated residence.

### **Auburn's Anti-Poverty Strategy:**

Over half of Auburn's households would qualify under federal guidelines as low/moderate income households. Auburn has one of the highest poverty rates among all the King County cities. These low-income households have limited financial resources at their disposal to use when faced with normal fluctuations in daily expenses and more serious life crises. Many of these households are precariously housed and several of them become homeless. Helping these residents retain or obtain housing, along with other supportive services that will help them get out of poverty, remain priority issues relative to the City's consideration for grant awards.

The City of Auburn's Department of Planning and Community Development (DPCD) is its lead agency to coordinate the City's efforts to reduce poverty. DPCD administers the City's general fund appropriation for human services and its Community Development Block Grant program. It works in partnership with citizens, non-profit agencies, and other city departments to:

- develop housing opportunities, particularly affordable housing;
- maintain and improve the vitality of Downtown Auburn.
- foster job growth and employment opportunities;
- encourage a thriving business sector;
- support the delivery of human services;

- strengthen the quality of life in Auburn's neighborhoods; and
- increase civic engagement and citizen participation.

The ultimate goal of the City's Consolidated Plan is to reduce the number of people living in poverty with the City of Auburn. In addition to complying with federal regulations and addressing a priority outlined in the Consolidated Plan, the City will give funding priority to programs which are consistent with the following anti-poverty strategy for resource allocation.

1. Each project must satisfy one of the three following overriding goals:
  - The project must help people move out of poverty.
  - The project must prevent people from entering poverty.
  - The project must address the basic needs of people living in poverty.
2. In making funding decisions, the City will give priority to programs that:
  - Support, complement or are consistent with other current City plans.
  - Are sustainable over time.
  - Have demonstrated cooperation and collaboration among government, private nonprofit agencies and the private sector to maximize impacts and reduce administrative costs.
  - Do not have a more appropriate source of funds.
3. A priority population for CDBG-funded services is individuals (especially people of color and people with disabilities) who are denied, by poverty and historical institutional practices, the opportunity to develop their full potential and to enjoy the benefits of community participation. The City will give priority to programs provided through organizations or agencies that demonstrate a commitment to making their services accessible to people through diversity training of staff and Boards, through recruitment and hiring of minority staff and Board members, and through efforts to provide services in an accessible and culturally sensitive manner.
4. A priority population for CDBG-funded services is female-headed households with children, who are currently and have historically been disproportionately impacted by poverty.
5. CDBG funded services must, to the fullest extent possible, be appropriate and accessible to people with disabilities, people of color, people with limited or no proficiency in English, and other eligible individuals and families who may face special barriers in accessing services. The City recognizes that while progress is being made in improving access to services and activities, specialized access services are likely to continue to be required in certain instances to ensure that priority populations receive the services they need.

6. The CDBG program was built on a premise of citizen participation in the formation of policies, including funding decisions, which address neighborhood and community needs. The City will give priority to programs that promote community initiatives to identify priority needs and to address those needs. Recognizing the limits on the ability of service systems to meet all needs, the City will seek to leverage resources to promote comprehensive, long-term responses that promote neighborhood self-sufficiency.
7. The City will give priority to programs that provide services addressing the basic needs of Auburn's most at-risk populations.
8. The City will give priority to programs that build and support the capacity of local organizations to address the needs of Auburn residents.
9. The City will give priority to programs that support economic development and promote access to quality jobs - positions that pay well enough to support an adequate standard of living, allow the purchase of housing and other basic necessities, offer stability and decent working conditions, and provide opportunities for advancement.



## 2010 Annual Action Plan

Update to the City of Auburn's Consolidated Plan for  
Housing and Community Development  
Fiscal Years 2010 – 2014

**(IMPORTANT NOTE: Program description and dollar amounts represented in this plan may change subject to the availability of funds and final approval by the Department of Housing and Urban Development.)**



**Planning, Building and Community Development Department**  
25 West Main Street  
Auburn, Washington 98001  
**(253) 931 - 3090**

# 2010 Annual Action Plan

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Each year, the City of Auburn executes specific actions to implement the goals and strategies of the Consolidated Plan for Years 2010 to 2014. Actions that will be undertaken in 2010 are outlined in this “Annual Action Plan”.

The City of Auburn anticipates the receipt of approximately \$405,000 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds in 2010. Auburn's CDBG funds will be combined with approximately \$606,500 of General Funds to create a total Human Services budget (including administration) of approximately \$1,011,500 in 2010. Human Services is responsible for providing and managing financial resource to more than 30 non-profit agencies that serve the Auburn community, administering the local housing repair program, and developing collaborations among community partners to strengthen the response to residents in need.

The 2010 Action Plan proposes to allocate approximately \$60,750 of CDBG funds to public services. Most of those funds (\$50,750) will be used to provide dental and medical care to uninsured, low income Auburn residents and \$10,000 for employment training.

Approximately \$81,000 of the CDBG funds will be allocated to program administration, which includes planning. The remaining \$263,250 will be allocated to housing repair (\$72,750), economic development (\$37,500), and repayment of a section 108 loan used to construct the City's planned community center at Les Gove (\$153,000).

The City of Auburn is committed to focusing more on achieving solutions to recurring social problems and achieve greater collaboration among service providers. The Department of Housing and Urban Development has worked to standardize the performance measurements listed in the Consolidated Plan. The 2010 Action Plan combines the changes that both the City of Auburn and HUD have implemented.

Overall the implementation of the 2009 Action Plan is progressing as planned. Most of the activities listed in the 2009 Action Plan are underway. A more detailed report of 2009 activities will be summarized in the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) that will be drafted and released for public review before the end of the first quarter of 2010.

## CITIZEN PARTICIPATION AND CONSULTATION

The development of the Annual Action Plan involves both citizen participation and consultation with local service providers. Citizen participation in the planning process began at a joint meeting between the Auburn City Council and Human Services Committee. At this meeting, Council and Committee members identified a series of community results that they would like to achieve through the appropriation of City funds.

The City consults with local service providers primarily through the solicitation of applications for Human Services grants. Grant applications prepared by service providers furnishes the City information regarding the goals of the agency, target populations, proposed services, gaps in the delivery of services, linkages to poverty reduction strategies and collaboration with other service providers. This information is reviewed by City staff and the Human Services Committee.

The Human Services Committee's recommendations were incorporated into the 2010 Action Plan and presented to the City Council's Planning and Community Development (PCD) Committee in September & October 2009. A preliminary draft of the 2010 Action Plan was distributed to all the grant applicants and local service providers. A public notice was issued and posted at City Hall, the Auburn Senior's Center, and the City of Auburn's website on October 2, 2009. This information was also published in The Seattle Times announcing that copies of the proposed 2010 Action Plan were available for public review. The City Council will schedule a public hearing to gather testimony about the plan before it takes action to review and adopt it. The following outlines the remaining steps regarding citizen participation and consultation for the 2010 Action Plan:

- October 2, 2009: Start of Public Review Process
- October 19, 2009: Public Hearing
- November 2, 2009: End of Public Review Process  
Council takes action to adopt the  
2010 Annual Action Plan
- November 15, 2009: Deadline to submit the plan to HUD

## HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES

### 2010 CDBG ESTIMATED RESOURCES

In 2009 the City of Auburn received \$406,354 of CDBG funds. In 2010 the City estimates that its CDBG funds will decrease slightly from last year's CDBG entitlement. The 2010 Action Plan includes a contingency plan in the event that CDBG funds increase or decrease.

### CDBG ESTIMATED RESOURCES

\$405,000	CDBG 2010 Entitlement (estimated)
<b>\$405,000</b>	<b>Total Estimated CDBG Funds Available in 2010</b>

## OTHER RESOURCES

### *City's General Fund*

The City of Auburn allocates up to one percent (1%) of its General Fund expenditures to provide human services for Auburn residents through contracts with non-profit agencies. Assuming the City will continue to receive the same amount of general fund revenues, the estimated allocation from General Fund budget for human service grants and city-managed human services programs is \$545,600 in 2010.

## TOTAL RESOURCES

<b>Funding Source</b>	<b>2010</b>
-- CDBG Funds	\$ 405,000
-- General Funds	\$ 545,600
Total Estimated Funds Available	\$ 950,600

## CITY OF AUBURN FUNDING PRIORITIES

The expressed goal of the City's Consolidated Plan is to reduce the number of people living in poverty with the City of Auburn. Toward this end, the City will give funding priority to programs that - in addition to complying with federal regulations and addressing a priority outlined in the Consolidated Plan - are consistent with the following anti-poverty strategy for resource allocation.

1. Each project must satisfy one of the three following overriding goals:
  - The project must help people move out of poverty.
  - The project must prevent people from entering poverty.
  - The project must address the basic needs of people living in poverty.
2. In making funding decisions, the City will give priority to programs that:
  - Support, complement or are consistent with other current City plans;
  - Are sustainable over time;
  - Have demonstrated cooperation and collaboration among government, private nonprofit agencies and the private sector to maximize impacts and reduce administrative costs;
  - Do not have a more appropriate source of funds.
3. The City will give priority to programs that provide services addressing the basic needs of Auburn's most at-risk populations. A priority population for CDBG-funded services is individuals who are denied, by poverty and historical institutional practices, the opportunity to develop their full potential and to enjoy the benefits of community participation.

4. CDBG funded services must, to the fullest extent possible, be appropriate and accessible to people who may face special barriers in accessing services.
5. The CDBG program was built on a premise of citizen participation in the formation of policies, including funding decisions, which address neighborhood and community needs. The City will give priority to programs that promote community initiatives to identify priority needs and to address those needs.
6. The City will give priority to programs that build and support the capacity of local organizations to address the needs of Auburn residents.
7. The City will give priority to programs that support economic development and promote access to quality jobs - positions that pay well enough to support an adequate standard of living, allow the purchase of housing and other basic necessities, offer stability and decent working conditions, and provide opportunities for advancement.
8. Funding priority will be given to agencies that provide direct services to Auburn residents at locations within the city limits of Auburn.

## COMMUNITY RESULTS DESIRED BY THE CITY OF AUBURN

The City of Auburn solicited proposals from local service providers to achieve the community results within the areas listed below. Population performance indicators will be applied to assess the overall success of the effort and the value of ongoing support. These indicators will be utilized to determine the effectiveness of individual programs that demonstrate improved efficiency for the resident in crisis and proven results in ending the cycle of need.

### **Overall Result: One-Stop Center**

The City of Auburn will fund human service providers who participate in the design, development and operation of a One-Stop Center in Auburn and the efficient, collaborative and integrated delivery of services it offers. Agencies who agree to participate in the design and development of a One-Stop Center in Auburn may apply for funding to accomplish one or more of the following community results:

- **Abused and Neglected Children:** The City of Auburn will fund human service providers that increase supportive and collaborative services to children who are neglected and abused, specifically the number of children who are victims of on-going and repeated neglect and abuse, within the City of Auburn during the next five years.
- **Victims of Domestic and Sexual Assault:** The City of Auburn will fund human service providers that increase supportive and collaborative services for Auburn residents, who are victims of domestic violence, that make the transition to a safe environment and self-determining lifestyle during the next five years.
- **Poverty Reduction:** The City of Auburn will fund human service providers, who increase supportive and collaborative services for Auburn residents, with a proven record of working with clients to no longer live in a cycle of poverty during the next five years.
- **Substance Abuse:** The City of Auburn will fund human service providers to develop strategies that increase the successful completion of treatment programs by Auburn residents who have serious behavioral and health problems due to substance abuse and chemical dependency during the next five years.

- **Physically and Mentally Fit:** The City of Auburn will fund human service agencies that provide supportive and collaborative services which increase availability, accessibility and use of health care to its low income residents during the next five years.

## 2010 PROPOSED ACTIVITIES TO ACHIEVE RESULTS

The City of Auburn requested proposals to achieve the following national objectives and community results.

### **Create a Suitable Living Environment:**

- **One-Stop Center:**
  - Create a suitable living environment for Auburn's low income residents and people with special needs by using its CDBG funds to make available float loans and Section 108 Loan Guarantees to leverage the funds necessary to develop both a Community Center and determine a future site for the One-Stop Center which will provide for the collocation and integrated delivery of human services.
- **Abused and Neglected Children:**
  - The City of Auburn proposes to allocate approximately \$170,000 of its General Fund, to generate approximately 7,700 hours of services and 160 home visits that will benefit 1,657 Auburn adults and children, for the purpose of reducing chronic abuse and neglect of children.
- **Victims of Domestic and Sexual Assault:**
  - The City of Auburn proposes to allocate \$106,000 of its General Fund to provide 1,500 referrals for assistance, 160 bednights shelter, 700 hours of case management and 550 hours of therapy to approximately 600 victims of domestic violence and sexual assault.
- **Physically and Mentally Fit:**
  - Create a suitable living environment by making health care available to 160 or more low-income, uninsured Auburn residents by allocating \$40,000 of CDBG funds and General Funds to the Community Health Centers of King County for the operation of its clinic in Auburn.
  - Create a suitable living environment by making dental care available to 75 or more low-income, uninsured Auburn residents by allocating \$20,000 of CDBG funds to the Community Health Centers of King County for the operation of its clinic in Auburn.
  - The City proposes to allocate an additional \$45,000 of its General Fund for the purpose of creating access to health care to over 1,000 low-income Auburn residents and enabling over 3,200 visits to qualified health care providers.
- **Poverty Reduction:**
  - The City of Auburn proposes to allocate \$60,000 of its General Fund to assist in providing nearly 12,000 Auburn residents emergency assistance such as food, financial assistance, and clothing.
  - The City of Auburn proposes to allocate \$16,500 of its General Fund to provide over 60 Auburn senior citizens congregate meals and home-delivered meals and over 1,200 Auburn residents a weekly meal.

- The City of Auburn proposes to allocate \$40,780 of its General Fund to provide over 100 Auburn residents more than 3,900 bednights of emergency shelter and/or transitional housing.
- **Substance Abuse:**
  - The City of Auburn proposes to rehabilitate a house to be used for the purpose of temporary use primarily by domestic violence victims who reside in Auburn. \$28,844 from CDBG Funds will be allocated to rehab the house which will serve to supplement present housing resources which presently exist. The City of Auburn will contract with a local partner to manage the house and its use protocols.
  - The City of Auburn proposes to allocate \$10,000 of its General Fund to support a program that provides integrated care to non-Medicaid clients who require both substance abuse treatment and mental health counseling.

**Provide Decent Housing:**

- Maintain the affordability of decent housing for very low-income Auburn residents by allocating \$72,750 of CDBG funds to the City of Auburn's Housing Repair Program for the purpose of providing repairs necessary to maintain suitable housing for 20 Auburn homeowners, including 15 homeowners who are senior citizens and 5 homeowners who lack safe access in or out of their homes due to physical disabilities or impairment.

**Expand Economic Opportunities:**

- Create economic opportunities by providing the availability and access to counseling and training for approximately 40 Auburn residents who are either trying to sustain or create a small business and, collectively generate 25 or more jobs by allocating \$37,500 of CDBG funds to the Green River Community College's Small Business Assistance Center.
- Create economic opportunities by providing the availability and access to train approximately 15 very-low income Auburn residents so that at least half of them can find and sustain a job by allocating \$10,000 of CDBG funds to the Multi-Service Center Employment Program.

**DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS**  
**CDBG Funds**

<b>Proposed CDBG Disbursements</b>	<b>2010</b>
Public Services	
-- Community Health Centers: Dental Care	\$20,000
-- Community Health Centers: Medical Care	\$30,750
-- Multi-Service Center Employment Program	\$10,000
Subtotal: Public Services	\$60,750
Capital Funds	
-- Affordable Housing	
..... City of Auburn Housing Repair Program	\$72,750
--Suitable Living Environment	
..... Community Center debt service	\$153,000
-- Economic Development	
..... GRCC Small Business Assistance Center	\$37,500
Subtotal: Capital Funds	\$263,250
Administration	
-- Program Management	
.... City of Auburn	\$81,000
Subtotal: Administration	\$81,000
<b>Total CDBG Funds:</b>	<b>\$405,000</b>

<b>Source of CDBG Funds:</b>	<b>2010</b>
-- 2010 Estimated Entitlement:	\$405,000
<b>Total Estimated CDBG Funds</b>	<b>\$405,000</b>

**General Funds 2010**

<b>Auburn Human Service Agencies:</b>	<b>2010</b>
... ACAP: APPLE Parenting	5,000
... ACAP: Childcare Subsidies	25,000
... Auburn Youth Resources	55,000
... Birth to Three Development Center	10,000
... Auburn Boys & Girls Club	30,000
... CHS: Family Resource Center	25,000
... CHS: Strengthening Families Program	10,000
... Children's Therapy Center	10,000
... Communities In Schools	4,000
<b>subtotal:</b>	<b>174,000</b>
... Consejo	10,000
... Crisis Clinic: 2-1-1 Line, 24 Hr., Teen Link	3,000
... DAWN	9,000
... KCSARC	22,500
... Jubilee Center: Latino Program	7,500
... SMH: Behavioral Responsibility Program	10,000
... SMH: DV PROGRAM	7,000
... VCCC: Survivor Support/Therapy Program	12,000
... YWCA: Children DV Services	5,000
... YWCA: DV Services	20,000
<b>subtotal:</b>	<b>106,000</b>
... Auburn Respite	10,000
... Christ Community Free Clinic	20,000
... Community Health Centers: Medical	8,536
... GriefWorks	10,000
... Senior Services Vol. Transportation	5,000
... VCCC: COD Treatment	10,000
<b>subtotal:</b>	<b>63,536</b>

**General Funds 2010**  
(Continued)

<b>Auburn Human Service Agencies:</b>	<b>2010</b>
... AFB: Emergency Food	35,000
... AFB: Community Suppers	5,000
... St. Vincent de Paul: Emergency Assist.	5,000
... CCS: CHORE Program	1,500
... CCS: HOME/ARISE	15,000
... Senior Center: Comm. Dining	4,500
... SS: Meals on Wheels	7,000
... MSC: Emer & Transitional Housing	8,000
... Pregnancy Aid	5,000
... United Way: Auburn Tax Preparation	5,000
... Ukranian Comm. Center	7,500
... WWEE: REACH	8,000
... YWCA: Emergency Housing	3,780
... YWCA: Transitional Housing	14,000
<b>subtotal:</b>	<b>124,280</b>
<b>Total GF Human Services Contracts</b>	<b>\$467,816</b>

### **Contingency Plans for Changes in Funding**

Total appropriations may not exceed actual revenues and no liability is to be incurred in excess of appropriations. In the event that actual revenues are different than the revenues estimated by the CDBG budget, the following actions shall be taken:

- (a) **CDBG Public Service Programs:** In the event the City receives a lesser amount of CDBG funding than budgeted for public services, then the reduction will be made as follows:
1. Reduce or eliminate the appropriation to the Multi-Service Center's Employment Program by \$10,000 or the amount of the funding reduction, which ever amount is less.
  2. Reduce the appropriation to Community Health Centers Medical Care Program by \$30,750 or the remaining balance of the reduction, which ever amount is less.
- (b) **CDBG Capital-Funds Programs:** In the event of a reduction in CDBG capital funds, the amount of reduction will be prorated evenly among the funded project.
- (c) **Unspent CDBG Capital Grants:** The funds appropriated to subrecipients for capital improvements must be expended within the term of the subrecipient's CDBG grant

agreement. CDBG funds appropriated for capital improvements will be considered abandoned, and the funds will be re-appropriated, if any of the following conditions exist:

- (a) The term of the grant agreement expires and the subrecipient fails to request an extension in accordance with the terms of the agreement.
- (b) The subrecipient fails to submit a request for reimbursement or fails to submit an activity report during the program year.
- (c) The subrecipient fails to make the project "bid ready" within the first year after the appropriation. "Bid ready" means that, at a minimum, the bid specifications are drafted and the subrecipient is ready to solicit bids.

(d) General Fund Public Services:

1. Reduction of General Fund Revenues: In the event of a reduction in the general fund appropriation for human services, the reductions will be applied in the following order:
  - (a) Communities In Schools: the lesser of \$2,000 or the amount of the reduction.
  - (b) St. Matthew's Jubilee Center's Latino Program: the lesser of \$2,500 or the remaining amount of the reduction.
  - (c) CHORE Program: the lesser of \$3,500 or the remaining amount of the reduction.
  - (d) GriefWorks: the lesser of \$2,500 or the remaining amount of the reduction.
  - (e) If the above reductions remain less than the total amount of the general fund reduction, then the remaining amount of the reduction will be applied evenly by a prorated amount among the remaining appropriations.
2. Increase in Funding: In the event of an increase in funding, then previous reductions in appropriated amounts, if any, will be restored to the amounts recommended for 2010 in the reverse order in which the above reductions were made. If funds remain after all reductions have been restored, then the remaining funds will be distributed according to the allocation recommended by the Planning and Community Development Committee and approved by the City Council.

## FAIR HOUSING

The City of Auburn continues to monitor compliance with the Fair Housing Act. Complaints are referred to the Washington State Human Rights Commission for resolution. In 2006, the City completed an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Choice (AI) as required for CDBG entitlement communities. The Fair Housing Consultant identified three impediments and made three recommendations. The recommendations were:

- Expand current education and outreach efforts;
- Continue ongoing enforcement activities; and
- Target homeownership and lending marketing to minority households.

The City is in the process of implementing these recommendations. Information about Fair Housing will be put on the City of Auburn's website and made available at City Hall. The information will include links pertaining to where residents can file a complaint in the event of alleged discrimination. Information will be available in English and Spanish. Summary data regarding the number of fair housing complaints filed against landlords and realtors in Auburn will be reported in the CAPER.

**PUBLIC HOUSING**

The City of Auburn continues to support public housing communities located within its city limits. Many of the residents who receive the services provided by the City's human service grants live in public housing communities. Two grant recipients are located within public housing communities. In addition, the City of Auburn's Housing Repair program serves approximately 10 – 12 residents per year who live at Tall Cedars Mobile Home Park, which is owned by the King County Housing Authority. Home repairs include furnaces, hot water tanks, wheelchair ramps and other repairs necessary to keep the home habitable. The following summarizes the grants provided to specific public housing communities:

<b>Agency/Program</b>	<b>Public Housing Community</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Auburn Boys & Girls Club	Firwood Circle	\$30,000
Auburn Food Bank	Burndale	\$35,000
Housing Repairs	Tall Cedars Mobile Home Park	\$50,000
Total: Public Housing Community Programs		\$115,000

**CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS**

The City of Auburn will continue to provide funding to regional and local agencies that provide assistance to homeless families. In 2010 the City will strive to maintain a continuum of care for homeless families that includes emergency assistance, emergency shelters and transitional housing. In addition, the City provides funds for the provision of medical care, childcare and employment training to help prevent people from becoming homeless. The City of Auburn plans to allocate \$49,780 of its General Fund to provide more than 4,000 bednights of emergency shelter and/or transitional housing to approximately 120 Auburn residents. The following summarizes the grants awarded with the intent to reduce chronic homelessness:

<b>Agency / Program</b>	<b>Award</b>	<b>Residents</b>	<b>Services Provided</b>
DAWN Confidential Shelter	\$9,000	15	160 bednights
Multi-Service Center	\$8,000	29	870 bednights
HOME/ARISE Emergency Shelter	\$15,000	30	1,650 bednights
YWCA Emergency & Transitional Housing	\$17,780	46	1,400 bednights
Total:	\$49,780	120	4,080 bednights

## GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION

CDBG funds spent on neighborhood revitalization and housing repairs for targeted neighborhoods will occur within the Census Tract 306 and Census Tract 307. According to the Census Bureau, these two census tracts are predominantly low income with median household incomes less than 80% of the King County median household income. Public services funded with CDBG funds and housing repairs in non-targeted neighborhoods will be offered to low income or limited clientele residents citywide.

## LEAD-BASED PAINT HAZARDS

The City of Auburn will continue to implement U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) regulations to protect young children from lead-based paint hazards in housing that is financially assisted by the federal government. The requirements apply only to housing built before 1978, the year lead-based paint was banned nationwide for consumer use. The following table summarizes actions required by these regulations.

<b>Amount of Grant</b>	<b>&lt;\$5,000</b>	<b>\$5,000-\$25,000</b>	<b>&gt; \$25,000</b>
<b>General Approach</b>	Do No Harm	Identify and control lead hazards	Identify and abate lead hazards
<b>Notification</b>	Pamphlet	Pamphlet & Notice of Assessment	Pamphlet & Notice of Hazard Reduction
<b>Evaluation</b>	Visual	Paint Testing	Risk Assessment
<b>Reduction Strategy</b>	Repair surfaces disturbed during rehab work	Interim Controls	Abatement and Interim Controls

## BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING

The City of Auburn will continue to look at policies that remove barriers to affordable housing. The City of Auburn's Comprehensive Land Use includes several policies and objectives that will guide the City toward achieving its affordable and fair housing goals. These policies include maintaining flexibility in land use to achieve a balanced mix of affordable housing opportunities. The City will continue to pursue mixed use developments that are consistent with the transportation oriented developments located in Auburn's downtown. The City will look for opportunities with public and private agencies to implement policies and offer programs that help alleviate physical and economic distress, conserve energy resources, improve the quality and quantity of community services, and eliminate conditions that are detrimental to health, safety and public welfare.

## LOW-MODERATE INCOME BENEFITS

Over the past three years approximately 88% of the clients served by programs funded with CDBG funds earned low to moderate incomes. The beneficiaries of programs funded in 2010

with CDBG funds are not expected to deviate from these past trends. The City of Auburn will continue to distribute its funds for human services based on priority needs identified for people with low-to-moderate incomes with a particular emphasis on people who live in poverty.

## **POLICIES AND CERTIFICATIONS**

Agencies applying for CDBG funds are required to respond to general and program specific policies in their applications. All projects are evaluated to determine if they are:

1. Eligible relative to federal guidelines;
2. Consistent with the program objectives and strategies;
3. Consistent with local, state and federal regulations;
4. Feasible within contract and timeline guidelines; and
5. Capable of developing and measuring outcomes.

These policies include, among others:

- Consistency with local codes and policies;
- Restrictions on the change of use of property and buildings which were acquired or improved with CDBG funds;
- Minimization of displacement and the provision of relocation assistance;
- Adherence to federal wage rates;
- Compliance with federal audit requirements; and
- Adherence to and enforcement of lead-based paint abatement regulations, fair housing laws and affirmative action.

## **DOCUMENTATION AND ON-SITE MONITORING**

Detailed records are maintained and reviewed to determine and assure agency compliance with its contract and other applicable regulations. Deficiencies in record keeping are documented and technical assistance to correct noted deficiencies is provided. The failure to comply with contractual requirements and regulations could result in remedial actions and/or the termination of funding.

## **FISCAL AUDITS**

The City conducts an internal audit to ensure that its records are complete and agencies are complying with applicable rules and regulations. In addition, the City's records are subject to an independent annual audit by the State Auditor. Both the City's internal audit and the State Auditor test to determine the City's compliance with the following CDBG requirements:

- Political Activity;
- Davis-Bacon and Related Acts;
- Civil rights;
- Cash Management;
- Federal Financial Reports;
- Allowable Costs & Cost Principles;
- Drug-Free Workplace Act; and
- Various administrative requirements.

## MORE INFORMATION

For more information and/or to get on the City's mailing list for Consolidated Plan updates and grant applications, please contact:

Michael Hursh, Human Services Manager  
Department of Administration  
25 West Main Street, Auburn, WA 98001  
Phone: (253) 804-5029  
Email: [mhursh@auburnwa.gov](mailto:mhursh@auburnwa.gov)

**Attachment #1**

**Summary/Statement**

**TABLE 3A  
SUMMARY/STATEMENT OF SPECIFIC ANNUAL OBJECTIVES**

<b>ACCESSIBILITY TO SUITABLE LIVING ENVIRONMENT</b>							
<b>Obj.#</b>	<b>Outcome/Objective</b>	<b>Source of Funds</b>	<b>Performance Indicator</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Expected #</b>	<b>Actual #</b>	<b>Percent Completed</b>
BG1001	Create a suitable living by making the availability of health care available to low-income, uninsured Auburn residents.	CDBG General Funds	Number of persons receiving medical service	2009	235		
BG1004	Create a suitable living environment by making available a Community Center to Auburn's residents of which 55.5% are low to moderate income.	CDBG	Number of persons receiving assistance.	2009	24		

<b>ACCESSIBILITY TO ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES</b>							
<b>Obj.#</b>	<b>Outcome/Objective</b>	<b>Source of Funds</b>	<b>Performance Indicator</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Expected #</b>	<b>Actual #</b>	<b>Percent Completed</b>
BG1002	Create economic opportunities by providing training to very-low income Auburn residents.	CDBG	Number of persons in job-training program	2009	15		
BG1002	Create economic opportunities by providing training to very-low income Auburn residents.	CDBG	Number of participants who maintain employment for six months or longer.	2009	8		
BG1005	Create economic opportunities by providing business training, classes and counseling to residents who are trying to sustain or create a small business.	CDBG	Number of persons assisted with business counseling	2009	40		

<b>SUSTAINABILITY OF DECENT HOUSING</b>							
<b>Obj.#</b>	<b>Outcome/Objective</b>	<b>Source of Funds</b>	<b>Performance Indicator</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Expected #</b>	<b>Actual #</b>	<b>Percent Completed</b>
BG1003	Maintain the affordability of decent housing for very low-income Auburn residents by providing repairs necessary to maintain suitable housing.	CDBG	Number of households receiving home repair assistance	2009	40		

**Attachment #2**

**Housing Completion**

**TABLE 3B  
ANNUAL HOUSING COMPLETION GOALS**

	Annual Expected Number Completed	Resources Used During the Period			
<b>ANNUAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING GOALS (Sec 215)</b>		<b>CDBG</b>	<b>HOME</b>	<b>ESG</b>	<b>HOPWA</b>
Homeless households		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Non-homeless households		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Special needs households		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>ANNUAL AFFORDABLE RENTAL HOUSING GOALS (Sec 215)</b>		<b>CDBG</b>	<b>HOME</b>	<b>ESG</b>	<b>HOPWA</b>
Acquisition of existing units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rental Assistancess		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Section 215 Affordable Rental		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>ANNUAL AFFORDABLE OWNER HOUSING GOALS (Sec 215)</b>		<b>CDBG</b>	<b>HOME</b>	<b>ESG</b>	<b>HOPWA</b>
Acquisition of existing units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units	20	<b>X</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homebuyer Assistance		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Section 215 Affordable Owner		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>ANNUAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING GOALS (Sec 215)</b>		<b>CDBG</b>	<b>HOME</b>	<b>ESG</b>	<b>HOPWA</b>
Acquisition of existing units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Production of new units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rehabilitation of existing units		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Homebuyer Assistance		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Section 215 Affordable Housing		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>ANNUAL HOUSING GOALS</b>		<b>CDBG</b>	<b>HOME</b>	<b>ESG</b>	<b>HOPWA</b>
Annual Rental Housing		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Annual Owner Housing		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Total Annual Housing Goal	20	<b>X</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Attachment #3**

**Listing of Projects**

**Table 3C  
List of CDBG Projects**

**Local ID:** BG1001

**Applicant's Name:** Community Health Centers of King County

**Priority Need:** HIGH

**Project Title:** Primary Medical / Dental Care

**Project Description:** Create a suitable living environment by making the availability of health care available to 235 or more low-income, uninsured Auburn residents by allocating \$60,000 of CDBG funds and \$8,536 of General Funds to the Community Health Centers of King County for the operation of its clinic in Auburn.

**Location:** 126 Auburn Avenue, Auburn, Washington

Project Information	
Project ID	TBD
Objective Number	01: People
HUD Matrix Code	05M
CDBG Citation	570.201(e)
Type of Recipient	LMC
National Objective	570.208(a)(2)
Start Date	01/01/2010
End Date	12/31/2010
Indicators	Clients
Annual Units	235

Funding	
CDBG	\$50,750
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
subtotal Formula	\$50,750
Prior Year Funds	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
Other Funding	9,250
Total:	\$60,000

The primary purpose of the project is to help  prevent Homeless  Persons with HIV/AIDS  Persons with Disabilities  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3C  
List of CDBG Projects**

**Local ID:** BG1002

**Applicant's Name:** South King County Multi-Service Center

**Priority Need:** HIGH

**Project Title:** Employment Training Program

**Project Description:** Create economic opportunities by providing training to approximately 15 very-low income Auburn residents so that at least half of them can find and sustain a job.

**Location:** 1200 S 336th Street, Federal Way, Washington

<b>Project Information</b>	
Project ID	TBD
Objective Number	01: People
HUD Matrix Code	05H
CDBG Citation	570.201(e)
Type of Recipient	LMC
National Objective	570.208(a)(2)
Start Date	01/01/2010
End Date	12/31/2010
Indicators	Clients
Annual Units	15

<b>Funding</b>	
CDBG	\$10,000
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
subtotal Formula	\$10,000
Prior Year Funds	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
Other Funding	
<b>Total:</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>

The primary purpose of the project is to help  prevent Homeless  Persons with HIV/AIDS  Persons with Disabilities  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3C  
List of CDBG Projects**

**Local ID:** BG1003

**Applicant's Name:** City of Auburn Dept. of Planning, Building and Community

**Priority Need:** HIGH

**Project Title:** Housing Repair Program

**Project Description:** Maintain the affordability of decent housing for very low-income Auburn residents by providing repairs necessary to maintain suitable housing for 20 Auburn homeowners, including 15 home-owners who are senior citizens and 5 homeowners who lack safe access in or out of their homes due to physical disabilities or impairment.

**Location:** Citywide

Project Information	
Project ID	TBD
Objective Number	10: Housing Units
HUD Matrix Code	14A
CDBG Citation	570.202
Type of Recipient	LMH
National Objective	570.208(a)(3)
Start Date	01/01/2010
End Date	12/31/2010
Indicators	Housing Units
Annual Units	20

Funding	
CDBG	\$72,750
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
subtotal Formula	\$72,750
Prior Year Funds	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
Other Funding	
Total:	\$72,750

The primary purpose of the project is to help  prevent Homeless  Persons with HIV/AIDS  Persons with Disabilities  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3C  
List of CDBG Projects**

**Local ID:** BG1004

**Applicant's Name:** City of Auburn Dept. of Planning, Building and Community

**Priority Need:** HIGH

**Project Title:** Section 108 Debt Service/Community Center

**Project Description:** Create a suitable living environment by making available a Community Center for Auburn's residents of which 55.5% are low to moderate income. Auburn will utilize its CDBG funds to service a secured interest Section 108 Loan for construction of a Community Center.

**Location:** Les Gove Park

Project Information	
Project ID	TBD
Objective Number	11: Public Facilities
HUD Matrix Code	03
CDBG Citation	570.201(c)
Type of Recipient	LMA
National Objective	570.208(a)(1)
Start Date	01/01/2010
End Date	12/31/2010
Indicators	Clients
Annual Units	100

Funding	
CDBG	\$153,000
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
subtotal Formula	\$153,000
Prior Year Funds	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
Other Funding	
Total:	\$153,000

The primary purpose of the project is to help  prevent Homeless  Persons with HIV/AIDS  Persons with Disabilities  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3C  
List of CDBG Projects**

**Local ID:** BG1005

**Applicant's Name:** Green River Community College

**Priority Need:** HIGH

**Project Title:** Small Business Assistance Center

**Project Description:** Create economic opportunities by providing the availability and access to counseling and training for approximately 40 Auburn residents who are either trying to sustain or create a small business and collectively generate 25 or more jobs.

**Location:** 108 South Division Street, Auburn, Washington

Project Information	
Project ID	TBD
Objective Number	01: People
HUD Matrix Code	18C
CDBG Citation	570.201(o)
Type of Recipient	LMC
National Objective	570.208(a)(2)(iii)
Start Date	01/01/2010
End Date	12/31/2010
Indicators	Clients
Annual Units	40

Funding	
CDBG	\$37,500
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
subtotal Formula	\$37,500
Prior Year Funds	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
Other Funding	
Total:	\$37,500

The primary purpose of the project is to help  prevent Homeless  Persons with HIV/AIDS  Persons with Disabilities  Public Housing Needs

**Table 3C  
List of CDBG Projects**

**Local ID:** BG1006

**Applicant's Name:** City of Auburn Dept. of Planning, Building and Community

**Priority Need:** HIGH

**Project Title:** Program Management and Administration

**Project Description:** General program planning and administration.

**Location:** 25 West Main Street, Auburn, Washington

Project Information	
Project ID	TBD
Objective Number	
HUD Matrix Code	21A
CDBG Citation	570.206
Type of Recipient	
National Objective	
Start Date	01/01/2010
End Date	12/31/2010
Indicators	
Annual Units	

Funding	
CDBG	\$81,952
ESG	
HOME	
HOPWA	
subtotal Formula	\$81,952
Prior Year Funds	
Assisted Housing	
PHA	
Other Funding	
Total:	\$81,952

The primary purpose of the project is to help  prevent Homeless  Persons with HIV/AIDS  Persons with Disabilities  Public Housing Needs

**Attachment #4**

**Notices of Public Comment, Hearing and Resolution**

# City of Auburn

## Department of Administration

25 West Main Street, Auburn, Washington 98001 Phone: 253-931-3090

---

Contact: Michael Hursh, Human Services Manager  
Phone: 253-804-5029 Email: mhursh@auburnwa.gov

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: **October 2, 2009**

---

### PUBLIC NOTICE

#### **Notice Of Public Hearing and of 30-Day Comment Period Proposed 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan and 2010 Community Development Block Grant Annual Action Plan**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the Auburn City Council will hold a public hearing at 7:30 p.m. on October 19, 2009, in the Council Chambers at City Hall, located at 25 West Main Street, in downtown Auburn about the proposed 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan and 2010 Annual Action Plan for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) projects.

The 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan and 2010 Annual Action Plan outlines proposed expenditures to implement the City's efforts related to its CDBG projects. It identifies federal and local funds expected to be available, indicates the activities on which they will be spent, and sets goals for the number and type of services expected to be provided.

The proposed 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan is a requirement for the Department of Housing and Urban Development's (HUD) Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program. The Consolidated Plan describes housing and community development needs as well as activities to address those needs. The Consolidated Plan brings together, in one submission, the planning and funding recommendations for human services, neighborhood revitalization and capital improvements funded by the Community Development Block Grant program. The Consolidated Plan includes a needs assessment, five-year strategy and the annual action plan. Project descriptions, recommended funding and proposed accomplishments for the 2010 program year are also included.

The City of Auburn anticipates that in 2010 approximately \$400,000 of CDBG funds will be available for projects that are consistent with federal regulations and the objectives and policies of the Auburn Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the City of Auburn's 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan and 2010 Annual Action Plan is available for a 30-day public review and comment period.

Oral comments may be offered at the public hearing on October 19, 2009. Written comments regarding the 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan and 2010 Annual Action Plan may be submitted to:

Michael Hursh, Human Services Manager  
25 W Main Street  
Auburn, WA 98001

The deadline for the consideration of written comments is November 2, 2009. Written comments and comments from the public hearing will be addressed in the final draft of the Consolidated and Action Plan. The City Council is tentatively scheduled to adopt the 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan and 2010 Annual Action Plan at their regular meeting on November 2, 2009.

Copies of the Plan are available at the City of Auburn's Department of Planning, Building and Community, located on the second floor of Auburn's City Hall, 25 West Main Street, in downtown Auburn between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

Written requests for a copy of the 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan and 2010 Annual Action Plan may be mailed to the Department of Planning, Building and Community, 25 West Main Street, Auburn, WA 98001. A copy of the Plan will be provided through the mail within five (5) working days upon request.

This notice is available in alternate formats for individuals with disabilities upon request. The Auburn City Hall is wheelchair accessible. Reasonable accommodations at the public hearing such as sign language interpretation or alternate formats for printed material are available for individuals with disabilities with a minimum of 7 days advance notice.

For more information or to request a copy of the Plan, please contact Michael Hursh, Human Services Manager at 253-804-5029 or email [mhursh@auburnwa.gov](mailto:mhursh@auburnwa.gov) .

**Attachment #5**

**Minutes of Public Hearing and Comment Period Remarks**

**(No written comments were received)**

**CITY COUNCIL  
MEETING MINUTES**

**OCTOBER 19, 2009 7:30 PM**

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

**A. Flag Salute**

Mayor Peter B. Lewis called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. and led those in attendance in the Pledge of Allegiance.

**B. Roll Call**

Mayor Lewis and the following Councilmembers were in attendance: Rich Wagner, Sue Singer, Gene Cerino, Nancy Backus, Bill Pelozza, Lynn Norman, and Virginia Haugen.

Staff members present included: Information Services Director Lorrie Rempher; Risk Manager Rob Roscoe; City Attorney Daniel B. Heid; Assistant Finance Director Maggie Coleman; Police Chief Jim Kelly; Parks, Arts and Recreation Director Daryl Faber; Emergency Management Manager Sarah Miller; Public Works Director Dennis Dowdy; Human Services Manager Michael Hursh; Senior Planner Chris Andersen; Environmental Planner Jennifer Shih; Assistant Police Chief Larry Miller; Police Commander Steve Stocker; Deputy Police Chief Bob Lee; Grant Writer Michael Wilson; and City Clerk Danielle Daskam.

**C. Announcements and Appointments**

**1. Announcements**

**a) Citizen Commendation**

Mayor Lewis and Chief of Police Jim Kelly presented a Citizen Commendation to Michael Binetti for his assistance to Auburn Police Officers with an arrest.

On October 1, 2009, an officer was attempting to place an individual under arrest for a crime. The individual became combative towards the officer and assaulted the officer. As the officer took the individual to the ground, the struggle continued. Mr. Binetti, without regard to his personal safety and unaware of

potential danger the individual presented, came to the aid of the officer by placing his body weight on the individual's legs which effectively ended the struggle allowing the officer to take the individual into custody. Mayor Lewis and Chief Kelly commended Mr. Binetti for his bravery and quick thinking.

**b) Chief of Police Jim Kelly Recognized for Years of Service**

Mayor Lewis presented Chief of Police Jim Kelly with a certificate of recognition for his faithful and successful thirty-three years of service to the Auburn Police Department. Chief Kelly's years of service to the community will be noted by eleven service bars that he will wear on his uniform.

**c) Green River Flood Preparation and Response Update**

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Emergency Preparedness Manager Sarah Miller provided the Council with an update on planning and preparation activities for potential Green River flooding.

Community outreach activities have included the following:

- More than 20 public meetings held
- More than 7,000 attendees at meetings
- Door-to-door notifications occurred in January
- Additional door-to-door in targeted neighborhoods occurred in September
- King County held four meetings in Auburn with over 1,500 attendees
- Staff created several versions of the flood map, latest with sewer and storm impacts
- One more large meeting and many small meetings are scheduled
- Specialized outreach for:
  - Faith-based/non-profit/community service organizations
  - Ham radio operators/CERT trained
- Website information continuously updated
- Frequently asked questions (FAQ) blog online
- Twitter and Facebook outreach
- E-mail alerts (nearly 1,000 subscribers)

- Volunteers and staff answering public information phone approximately eleven hours per day
- Community sandbag sites open and operational
- Volunteers assisting citizens
- Corporate volunteers filled bags this past weekend with machinery

Business outreach activities have included:

- Door-to-door notifications in January
- Specialized meeting in February
- Individual phone calls to each business in the impact area
- Multi-city meeting in Kent in September in conjunction with Chamber of Commerce
- Additional door-to-door campaign to distribute hazardous materials information in coming weeks

Internal activities include:

- Planning team created in January, which includes representatives from Boeing, Auburn Regional Medical Center, Safeway (regional), Auburn School District and Green River Community College
- Initial response action plans are in place and are updated continuously
- Staff/volunteer training: Planning, Logistics, Public Information Officer and All-hazards
- Staff have attended more than 100 regional planning meetings
- Emergency vendor contracts
- Archives moved to safety
- Raising equipment to safe heights
- Debris management plan nearly complete
- Parks working to secure loose items in City parks and move equipment to high ground
- Working regionally on large-scale shelters
- Coordinating evacuation routes with adjoining cities

- Several exercises, including communications and tabletop, with Emergency Communications Center setup/activation
- Completion of CEMP underway
- Final NWS StormReady Certification activities underway

Using a PowerPoint presentation, Public Works Director Dennis Dowdy reviewed the flood protection work in progress. He reviewed the City's proposed Flood Defense Plan for Primary Containment consisting of the following scopes of work in order of priority and urgency:

1. Raising the Green River levee from 8<sup>th</sup> Street to Reddington levee (37<sup>th</sup> Street NE)
2. Installing a setback levee from Reddington levee to South 277<sup>th</sup> Street
3. Close and raise South 277<sup>th</sup> Street
4. Raise I Street NW and Frontage Road, ease of SR 167, to protect against backwaters from Mill Creek

Director Dowdy stated that the flood modeling results for the 13,900 cfs and 17,600 cfs levels indicated that the City can expect flood waters from the greater event to begin to overtop the left bank of the Green River at Elevation 53 at the Central Avenue Bridge, which would fill the floodplain north and south of South 277<sup>th</sup> Street.

Staff members estimate that the City's primary containment structure in the vicinity of the drive-in theater property will need to be twelve feet high to contain the backwater of the flood plain and provide three feet of freeboard from the 13,900 cfs flood event.

Director Dowdy briefly reviewed the various types of containment measures. Primary containment measures include:

- Geogrid packs
- Hesco containers
- Supersacks
- Jersey barriers

- Sandbags
- Other containment devices

Director Dowdy reviewed the cost estimates and funding sources. The initial working estimate is \$1.5 million (without the cost of pumping contracts).

King County has appropriated \$8 million from the Flood Control District Fund, to address the Green River flood emergency. \$4.9 million will be prorated to City and County levee work on a lineal foot basis to assist each jurisdiction in cost sharing the work. Auburn's portion of the funds amounts to \$1,019,200.

City Storm Drainage Funds will be used for any cost overruns not covered by the funds from the Flood Control District.

Director Dowdy advised that there are generally four areas that have no levee protection:

- East bank, north of 8<sup>th</sup> Street (Porter Bridge) and both banks south of bridge
- Lower Cobble Creek, south of the golf course
- Mullen Slough community on South 287<sup>th</sup> Street
- Several homes northeast of the I Street setback levee
- Trail Run development on South 277<sup>th</sup> Street (several lots may be at risk)

The schedule of work is as follows:

- Phase I: Levee work from 8<sup>th</sup> Street bridge to end of Reddington Levee, to be under contract by October 23<sup>rd</sup> with no work hour restrictions, seven day work week and required to be completed by November 7<sup>th</sup>
- Phase II: Setback levee work from end of Reddington Levee to South 277<sup>th</sup> to be under contract by October 30<sup>th</sup> with no work hour restrictions, seven day work week and required to be completed by November 14<sup>th</sup>
- Phase III: Preparations to close South 277<sup>th</sup> as the flood plain nears filling and before the

- Phase IV: Mill Creek work to be under contract by November 7<sup>th</sup> with three shift 24-hour, seven day work schedule with indefinite but on call completion date depending on the first flood event.

## **2. Appointments**

There was no appointment for Council consideration.

## **D. Agenda Modifications**

A revised draft application for the HUD Section 108 Loan Program under public hearings was transmitted prior to the meeting.

## **II. CITIZEN INPUT, PUBLIC HEARINGS & CORRESPONDENCE**

### **A. Public Hearings**

#### **1. Moratorium – 100 Year Floodplain**

O3.10.8

Resolution No. 4416, adopted November 17, 2008, established an initial six-month moratorium on the filing, receipt, and approval of applications for development within areas of the city located within, in whole or in part, designated 100 Year Floodplains as determined by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. Resolution No. 4476 extended the moratorium six months. An additional six month extension of the moratorium is proposed.

Mayor Lewis reported that FEMA declared that there should be a moratorium in the 100 year floodplain and that cities would be fined and held accountable for issuing any permits in the floodplain area until FEMA develops a model ordinance to address the situation. FEMA has yet to finalize the model ordinance. No additional staff report was presented.

Mayor Lewis opened the hearing at 8:18 p.m.

Mara Heiman, 6430 South 287<sup>th</sup> Street, Auburn

Ms. Heiman spoke against the extension of the moratorium. She presented the Council with copies of two photos identified as the Green River Valley, Longacres Race Track Stables, November 23, 1959, and Green River Valley, East Valley Road looking toward Renton, November 3, 1959. Ms. Heiman alleged that the floodplain is a man-made floodplain. She asked that she be compensated for her property.

Howard Cottier, 181 Old Beaver Valley Road, Port Ludlow, WA

Mr. Cottier stated that he owns property adjacent to Mara Heiman's (previous speaker) property. Mr. Cottier stated that his property is at the most southern point of the floodplain. He objected to the extension of the moratorium.

Mara Heiman, 6430 South 287<sup>th</sup> Street, Auburn

Ms. Heiman objected to the moratorium. She alleged that a rolling moratorium is inappropriate and requested relief. She stated that the real floodplain is to the north of her property.

Mayor Lewis closed the public hearing at 8:32 p.m.

**2. Proposed 2010-2014 Consolidated Plan and 2010 Community Development Block Grant Annual Action Plan**

O3.4.1.7

City Council conduct a public hearing on amendments to the City of Auburn Consolidated Plan for Housing and Community Development and 2010 Community Development Block Grant Action Plan.

Human Services Manager Hursh presented the staff report for the Consolidated Plan for 2010-2014 and the 2010 Annual Action Plan. Mr. Hursh explained that the City's Consolidated Plan includes both the City of Auburn's General Fund appropriations for human services and the distribution of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds. Mr. Hursh clarified that CDBG funds are primarily used for administration of the program and "brick and mortar" projects such as the housing repair program.

The Consolidated Plan is a five year plan which provides the direction of community development goals for the City of Auburn. The Annual Action Plan is the description of particular projects which are planned for the next fiscal year.

Mayor Lewis opened the hearing at 8:38 p.m. There being no one in the audience who wished to speak, the hearing was closed.

**3. Housing and Urban Development Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program**

O3.4.1.7

City Council conduct a public hearing regarding the proposed Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program Application for use in construction of City of Auburn's Community Center

Grant Writer Michael Wilson presented the staff report on the first hearing for the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Section 108 Loan Guarantee Program grant application. Mr. Wilson advised that the City can apply for up to five times its annual allocation of approximately \$400,000 in Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds. The City is requesting \$2,033,720 in Section 108 Loan Guarantee HUD funds to provide financing for the development of a community center facility at Les Gove Park. The City will repay the loan with proceeds from the City's annual allocation of CDBG funds over twenty years. The City's annual payment is estimated at \$150,000. Mr. Wilson noted that the City is receiving CDBG funds based on an old census calculation; he projected that with a new census calculation coming in 2010, the \$150,000 of the annual allocation used for repayment of the Section 108 loan will be recouped from the increased population growth and HUD's recalculation of the City's allocation.

Mr. Wilson estimated that the loan review by HUD will take approximately four months.

Deputy Mayor Singer inquired whether the Section 108 Loan discussed this evening will affect the proposed one stop service center funding. Human Services Manager Hursh responded that if and when an application is received for the one stop service center, it will be evaluated at that time and determined whether the grant will be refinanced or other funding allocated.

In response to questions from Councilmember Pelosa regarding the repayment schedule, Mr. Wilson explained that the City can choose either an interim interest rate or fixed interest rate for the loan. Mr. Wilson proposed that the City

use the interim rate for the first year of the loan and lock in at a fixed rate later. The fixed rate is estimated at four percent.

In response to a question from Councilmember Wagner, Human Services Manager Hursh stated that the Human Services Committee supports the Section 108 loan application for the community center project.

Mayor Lewis opened the hearing at 8:50 p.m. There being no one in the audience who wished to speak, the hearing was closed.

**B. Audience Participation**

*This is the place on the agenda where the public is invited to speak to the City Council on any issue. Those wishing to speak are reminded to sign in on the form provided.*

Kathy Clark, 39 Pike Street SE, Auburn  
Ms. Clark conveyed her thanks to the City for information on flooding preparations, code enforcement and resolution of the blighted house at 4 Pike Street SE.

**C. Correspondence**

There was no correspondence for Council review.

**III. COUNCIL COMMITTEE REPORTS**

A3.7.6

**A. Municipal Services**

Chair Cerino reported that the Municipal Services Committee did not meet on October 12th. The next regular meeting of the Municipal Services Committee is scheduled for October 26, 2009 at 4:00 p.m.

**B. Planning & Community Development**

Chair Norman reported the Planning and Community Development Committee met October 12, 2009. The Committee discussed the floodplain moratorium, the Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plan, and Pierce County housing targets update. The next meeting of the Planning and Community Development Committee is scheduled for October 26, 2009 at 5:00 p.m.

**C. Public Works**

Chair Wagner reported the Public Works Committee met this afternoon at 3:00. The Committee reviewed Ordinance No. 6265 regarding facility extensions. The Committee also discussed Resolution No. 4527 approving an easement for the Auburn Regional Medical Center and Resolution No. 4535 regarding the

floodplain moratorium. The Committee also received an update on the M Street Grade Separation project and discussed the Comprehensive Transportation Plan, the Six Year Transportation Improvement Plan, potential flooding, and the capital projects status report. The next regular meeting of the Public Works Committee is scheduled for November 2, 2009 at 3:00 p.m.

**D. Finance**

Chair Backus reported the Finance Committee met this evening at 6:30. The Committee reviewed and approved claims and payroll vouchers and discussed Resolution No. 4535 regarding the floodplain moratorium, an investment summary report for the period ending September 30, 2009, and a briefing on potential flooding. The next regular meeting of the Finance Committee is scheduled for November 2, 2009 at 6:30 p.m.

**E. Community Center**

Chair Wagner reported the Community Center Committee has not met and no future meeting is scheduled at this time.

**F. Downtown Redevelopment**

Chair Norman reported the Downtown Redevelopment Committee met October 13, 2009. The Committee discussed an amendment to the Downtown Urban Center design standards regarding monument signs/ground signs in the downtown area, the Auburn Junction project, and the City Hall plaza. The next regular meeting of the Downtown Redevelopment Committee is scheduled for October 27, 2009 at 4:00 p.m.

**IV. REPORTS**

*At this time the Mayor and City Council may report on significant items associated with their appointed positions on state, regional and local organizations.*

**A. From the Council**

Deputy Mayor Singer reported on her and other Councilmembers' attendance at the Valley Regional Fire Authority's groundbreaking for the remodel of their Fire Station 32 on R Street SE, the Reaching Out Fair for middle school children, and continued work on Project ASCENT.

Councilmember Wagner reported on his attendance at the Regional Access Mobility Project meeting, which is a Pierce County transportation planning forum. The meeting consisted of discussion of the tolling study which is being conducted by the State and the alternative transportation funding methods study the State is undertaking.

Councilmember Pelozza reported on his attendance at the King County Solid Waste Advisory Committee meeting where the main topic was the life of the Cedar Hills Landfill. Councilmember Pelozza also reported that he toured the Mullen Slough and tributary 045 area. He reported that King County discovered a man-made dam was preventing water from naturally flowing to the Mullen Slough area, and the dam was removed. Councilmember Pelozza also reported on his attendance at the Suburban Cities Association Public Issues Committee meeting where he supported a reclaimed water proposal.

**B. From the Mayor**

Mayor Lewis reported that Standard & Poor issued their ratings on October 5<sup>th</sup> where Auburn was rated “AA”; and of the cities comprising the SCORE, there was no other city rated higher than Auburn. Mayor Lewis quoted from Standard & Poor’s as follows: “We understand that annexations have driven much of the City’s population and tax base growth in recent years, but that sales tax revenues have slid in recent months. Historically, the City’s financial position has been what we consider very strong, exceeding twenty-five percent of expenditures during the past four years. For 2009, the City has cut expenditures in response to the recession, but management expects to finish the year with available General Fund balance that is still very strong at approximately eighteen percent of expenditures. In addition, the City maintains revenue flexibility in the form of bank capacity and maintains about \$5.5 million (about ten percent of expenditures) in a revenue stabilization fund outside the General Fund.” Mayor Lewis congratulated the Council and the City’s Finance Department for the excellent financial condition of the City.

**V. CONSENT AGENDA**

*All matters listed on the Consent Agenda are considered by the City Council to be routine and will be enacted by one motion in the form listed.*

**A. Minutes**

- 1. Minutes of the October 5, 2009 regular City Council meeting.**

**B. Vouchers**

F4.9.2, F4.9.5

- 1. Claims**

Claims check numbers 389305 through 389696 in the amount of \$4,180,832.50 and one wire transfer in the amount of \$4,904.00 and dated October 19, 2009.

**2. Payroll**

Payroll check numbers 527444 to 527549 in the amount of \$793,262.78 and electronic deposit transmissions in the amount of \$1,152,031.63 for a grand total of \$1,945,294.41 for the pay period covering October 1, 2009 to October 14, 2009.

Deputy Mayor Singer moved and Councilmember Wagner seconded to approve the Consent Agenda.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. 7-0.

**VI. UNFINISHED BUSINESS**

There was no unfinished business.

**VII. NEW BUSINESS**

There was no new business.

**VIII. ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS**

**A. Ordinances**

**1. Ordinance No. 6265**

A3.5.2, A3.13.2

An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Auburn, Washington, amending Chapter 13.40 and Section 12.04.050 of the Auburn City Code relating to facility extensions

Councilmember Wagner moved and Councilmember Cerino seconded to introduce and adopt Ordinance No. 6265.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. 7-0.

**B. Resolutions**

**1. Resolution No. 4527**

O3.10.9

A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Auburn, Washington, authorizing the granting of an easement for construction and permanent occupation of the City right of way in connection with the Auburn Regional Medical Center Parking Garage Project

Councilmember Wagner moved and Councilmember Cerino seconded to adopt Resolution No. 4527.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. 7-0.

**IX. ADJOURNMENT**

There being no further business to come before the Council, the meeting adjourned at 9:18 p.m.

APPROVED THE \_\_\_\_\_ DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2009.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter B. Lewis, Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Danielle Daskam, City Clerk

*City Council agendas and minutes are available to the public at the City Clerk's Office, on the City website, and via e-mail. Complete agenda packets are available for review at the City Clerk's Office and at the Library.*

Internet: <http://www.auburnwa.gov>

\*Denotes attachments included in Council agenda packet.

**Attachment #6**

**Resolution of City Council**

**Attachment #7**

**Certifications**

RESOLUTION NO. 4541

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUBURN, WASHINGTON, ADOPTING THE CONSOLIDATED PLAN FOR YEARS 2010 TO 2014 AND THE 2010 ANNUAL ACTION PLAN

WHEREAS, the City of Auburn was designated as an entitlement community by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for its Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program; and

WHEREAS, the primary objective of the Consolidated Plan and CDBG Program is the development of viable urban communities by providing decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanding economic opportunities, principally for persons of low- and moderate-income; and

WHEREAS, to be eligible for funding, the City of Auburn must submit a Consolidated Plan to serve as a federally required planning document to guide the City of Auburn's human service and community development efforts; and

WHEREAS, the planning process to develop the Consolidated Plan involved citizen participation and guidance from non-profit and governmental agencies serving low income residents in the community; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Auburn heard and considered public comment about its proposed 2010 – 2014 Consolidated Plan and 2010 Annual Action Plan; and


NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF AUBURN, WASHINGTON, DOES HEREBY RESOLVE TO:

**Section 1.** Pursuant to provisions of 24CFR91, the City hereby adopts the Consolidated Plan for Years 2010 to 2014 and the 2010 Annual Action Plan.

**Section 2.** The Mayor is hereby authorized to implement such administrative procedures as may be necessary to carry out directions of the legislation.

**Section 3.** This Resolution shall be in full force and effect upon passage and signatures hereon.

DATED and SIGNED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of November, 2009.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Peter B. Lewis  
Mayor

ATTEST:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Danielle Daskam, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel B. Heid, City Attorney



# CPMP Non-State Grantee Certifications

Many elements of this document may be completed electronically, however a signature must be manually applied and the document must be submitted in paper form to the Field Office.

- This certification does not apply.  
 This certification is applicable.

## NON-STATE GOVERNMENT CERTIFICATIONS

In accordance with the applicable statutes and the regulations governing the consolidated plan regulations, the jurisdiction certifies that:

**Affirmatively Further Fair Housing** -- The jurisdiction will affirmatively further fair housing, which means it will conduct an analysis of impediments to fair housing choice within the jurisdiction, take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis, and maintain records reflecting that analysis and actions in this regard.

**Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan** -- It will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended, and implementing regulations at 49 CFR 24; and it has in effect and is following a residential antidisplacement and relocation assistance plan required under section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, in connection with any activity assisted with funding under the CDBG or HOME programs.

**Drug Free Workplace** -- It will or will continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
2. Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about -
  - a. The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
  - b. The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
  - c. Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and
  - d. The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;
3. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph 1;
4. Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph 1 that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will -
  - a. Abide by the terms of the statement; and
  - b. Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;
5. Notifying the agency in writing, within ten calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph 4(b) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to every grant officer or other designee on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant;
6. Taking one of the following actions, within 30 calendar days of receiving notice under subparagraph 4(b), with respect to any employee who is so convicted -
  - a. Taking appropriate personnel action against such an employee, up to and including termination, consistent with the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended; or
  - b. Requiring such employee to participate satisfactorily in a drug abuse assistance or rehabilitation program approved for such purposes by a Federal, State, or local health, law enforcement, or other appropriate agency;
7. Making a good faith effort to continue to maintain a drug-free workplace through implementation of paragraphs 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.

**Anti-Lobbying** -- To the best of the jurisdiction's knowledge and belief:

8. No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of it, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement;
9. If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, it will complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions; and
10. It will require that the language of paragraph 1 and 2 of this anti-lobbying certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

**Authority of Jurisdiction** -- The consolidated plan is authorized under State and local law (as applicable) and the jurisdiction possesses the legal authority to carry out the programs for which it is seeking funding, in accordance with applicable HUD regulations.

**Consistency with plan** -- The housing activities to be undertaken with CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA funds are consistent with the strategic plan.

**Section 3** -- It will comply with section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, and implementing regulations at 24 CFR Part 135.



11-15-2009

Signature/Authorized Official

Date

Peter B. Lewis

Name

Mayor-City of Auburn

Title

25 W. Main St.

Address

Auburn, WA 98001

City/State/Zip

253-931-3041

Telephone Number

- This certification does not apply.  
 This certification is applicable.

### Specific CDBG Certifications

The Entitlement Community certifies that:

**Citizen Participation** -- It is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR 91.105.

**Community Development Plan** -- Its consolidated housing and community development plan identifies community development and housing needs and specifies both short-term and long-term community development objectives that provide decent housing, expand economic opportunities primarily for persons of low and moderate income. (See CFR 24 570.2 and CFR 24 part 570)

**Following a Plan** -- It is following a current consolidated plan (or Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy) that has been approved by HUD.

**Use of Funds** -- It has complied with the following criteria:

11. Maximum Feasible Priority - With respect to activities expected to be assisted with CDBG funds, it certifies that it has developed its Action Plan so as to give maximum feasible priority to activities which benefit low and moderate income families or aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight. The Action Plan may also include activities which the grantee certifies are designed to meet other community development needs having a particular urgency because existing conditions pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community, and other financial resources are not available);
12. Overall Benefit - The aggregate use of CDBG funds including section 108 guaranteed loans during program year(s) 2010, 2\_\_\_\_, 2\_\_\_\_, (a period specified by the grantee consisting of one, two, or three specific consecutive program years), shall principally benefit persons of low and moderate income in a manner that ensures that at least 70 percent of the amount is expended for activities that benefit such persons during the designated period;
13. Special Assessments - It will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low and moderate income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements.

However, if CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment that relates to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with CDBG funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds.

The jurisdiction will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108, unless CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements financed from other revenue sources. In this case, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. Also, in the case of properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (not low-income) families, an assessment or charge may be made against the property for public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks CDBG funds to cover the assessment.

**Excessive Force** -- It has adopted and is enforcing:

14. A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in non-violent civil rights demonstrations; and

**Error! Not a valid link.**

---

15. A policy of enforcing applicable State and local laws against physically barring entrance to or exit from a facility or location which is the subject of such non-violent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction;

**Compliance With Anti-discrimination laws** -- The grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 USC 2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 USC 3601-3619), and implementing regulations.

**Lead-Based Paint** -- Its activities concerning lead-based paint will comply with the requirements of part 35, subparts A, B, J, K and R, of title 24;

**Compliance with Laws** -- It will comply with applicable laws.



11-15-2009

Signature/Authorized Official

Date

Peter B. Lewis

Name

Mayor-City of Auburn

Title

25 W. Main St.

Address

Auburn, WA 98001

City/State/Zip

253-931-3041

Telephone Number

- This certification does not apply.  
 This certification is applicable.

## APPENDIX TO CERTIFICATIONS

### Instructions Concerning Lobbying and Drug-Free Workplace Requirements

#### Lobbying Certification

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

#### Drug-Free Workplace Certification

1. By signing and/or submitting this application or grant agreement, the grantee is providing the certification.
2. The certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance is placed when the agency awards the grant. If it is later determined that the grantee knowingly rendered a false certification, or otherwise violates the requirements of the Drug-Free Workplace Act, HUD, in addition to any other remedies available to the Federal Government, may take action authorized under the Drug-Free Workplace Act.
3. Workplaces under grants, for grantees other than individuals, need not be identified on the certification. If known, they may be identified in the grant application. If the grantee does not identify the workplaces at the time of application, or upon award, if there is no application, the grantee must keep the identity of the workplace(s) on file in its office and make the information available for Federal inspection. Failure to identify all known workplaces constitutes a violation of the grantee's drug-free workplace requirements.
4. Workplace identifications must include the actual address of buildings (or parts of buildings) or other sites where work under the grant takes place. Categorical descriptions may be used (e.g., all vehicles of a mass transit authority or State highway department while in operation, State employees in each local unemployment office, performers in concert halls or radio stations).
5. If the workplace identified to the agency changes during the performance of the grant, the grantee shall inform the agency of the change(s), if it previously identified the workplaces in question (see paragraph three).
6. The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant: Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code) Check if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here. The certification with regard to the drug-free workplace is required by 24 CFR part 21.

Place Name	Street	City	County	State	Zip
Auburn City Hall	25 W. Main St.	Auburn	King	WA	98001

7. Definitions of terms in the Nonprocurement Suspension and Debarment common rule and Drug-Free Workplace common rule apply to this certification. Grantees' attention is called, in particular, to the following definitions from these rules: "Controlled substance" means a controlled substance in Schedules I through V of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812) and as further defined by regulation (21 CFR 1308.11 through 1308.15); "Conviction" means a finding of guilt (including a plea of *nolo contendere*) or imposition of sentence, or both, by any judicial body charged with the responsibility to determine violations of the Federal or State criminal drug statutes; "Criminal drug statute" means a Federal or non-Federal criminal statute involving the manufacture, distribution, dispensing, use, or possession of any

controlled substance; "Employee" means the employee of a grantee directly engaged in the performance of work under a grant, including:

- All "direct charge" employees;
- all "indirect charge" employees unless their impact or involvement is insignificant to the performance of the grant; and
- a. temporary personnel and consultants who are directly engaged in the performance of work under the grant and who are on the grantee's payroll. This definition does not include workers not on the payroll of the grantee (e.g., volunteers, even if used to meet a matching requirement; consultants or independent contractors not on the grantee's payroll; or employees of subrecipients or subcontractors in covered workplaces).

Note that by signing these certifications, certain documents must be completed, in use, and on file for verification. These documents include:

1. Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing
2. Citizen Participation Plan
3. Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan



11-15-2009

Signature/Authorized Official

Date

Peter B. Lewis

Name

Mayor-City of Auburn

Title

25 W. Main St.

Address

Auburn, WA 98001

City/State/Zip

253-931-3041

Telephone Number

**APPLICATION FOR  
FEDERAL ASSISTANCE**

Version 7/03

<b>1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:</b> Application <input type="checkbox"/> Construction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Construction		<b>2. DATE SUBMITTED</b> 11-15-2009	Applicant Identifier BG10
<input type="checkbox"/> Pre-application <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Construction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Construction		<b>3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE</b>	State Application Identifier
		<b>4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY</b>	Federal Identifier
<b>5. APPLICANT INFORMATION</b>			
Legal Name: City of Auburn		<b>Organizational Unit:</b> Department: Planning, Building, and Community Development	
Organizational DUNS: 032942575		Division:	
<b>Address:</b> Street: 25 West Main Street		<b>Name and telephone number of person to be contacted on matters involving this application (give area code)</b> Prefix: First Name: Michael	
City: Auburn		Middle Name	
County: King		Last Name Hursh	
State: WA	Zip Code 98001	Suffix: Human Services Planner	
Country: USA		Email: mhursh@auburnwa.gov	
<b>6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN):</b> 91-6001228		Phone Number (give area code) (253) 804-5029	Fax Number (give area code) (253) 804-3114
<b>8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> New <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuation <input type="checkbox"/> Revision If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) in box(es) (See back of form for description of letters.)		<b>7. TYPE OF APPLICANT:</b> (See back of form for Application Types) Other (specify) C: Municipal	
<b>10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER:</b> TITLE (Name of Program): 14-218		<b>9. NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY:</b> H.U.D.	
<b>12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):</b> King and Pierce Counties, Washington		<b>11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT:</b> Community Development Block Grant Entitlement	
<b>13. PROPOSED PROJECT</b> Start Date: 01-01-2010 Ending Date: 12-3102010		<b>14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:</b> a. Applicant 8 and 9 b. Project 8 and 9	
<b>15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:</b>		<b>16. IS APPLICATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS?</b>	
a. Federal	\$ .00	a. Yes. <input type="checkbox"/> THIS PREAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AVAILABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON DATE:	
b. Applicant	\$ .00	b. No. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROGRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E. O. 12372	
c. State	\$ .00	<input type="checkbox"/> OR PROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE FOR REVIEW	
d. Local	\$ .00	<b>17. IS THE APPLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT?</b>	
e. Other	\$ .00	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes If "Yes" attach an explanation. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
f. Program Income	\$ .00	<b>18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY THE GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS AWARDED.</b>	
g. TOTAL	\$ .00	<b>a. Authorized Representative</b>	
Prefix Last Name Lewis		First Name Peter Middle Name B. Suffix	
b. Title Mayor, City of Auburn		c. Telephone Number (give area code) (253) 931-3041	
d. Signature of Authorized Representative		e. Date Signed 11-15-2009	