Why LID?

- Because we have to…
  - State NPDES Permit Requirement
  - Countywide Planning Policies
  - PSRC Vision 2040
- Because we want to…
  - Better storm water management outcomes
  - Better aquifer recharge outcomes
  - Better environmental outcomes
Mandatory LID is a Big Change

- Change in business practices
  - Private land development
  - Public parks, streets, utilities
  - City maintenance and operations
- Longevity of methods – still learning
- Cost – city and private
- Responsibility – ongoing challenge
- Scaled approach – single family home vs. subdivision
- Industry acceptance – especially engineering
## Auburn Approach – Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assemble Internal Core Team</td>
<td>Complete (1/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy and Code Gap Analysis</td>
<td>Complete (10/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy Development</td>
<td>Complete (10/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>In process (to be completed by 12/16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code Development</td>
<td>In process (to be completed by 6/16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Practice Update</td>
<td>Yet to be started (3/16 to 12/16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop Informational Materials</td>
<td>Yet to be started (3/16 to 12/16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Auburn Approach – Philosophy

- Importance of Design Phase
  - More front loading of requirements
- Code Options
  - Prescriptive vs. performance
- Incentives
  - Natural vs. engineered approaches